## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

JESSE LEE KEEL, III

FILED

**CIVIL ACTION** 

v.

JAN : 2 6 2017

A.D.A. JESSICA CHUNG, et al.

KATE BARKMAN, Clerk By \_\_\_\_\_\_ Dep. Clerk

NO. 16-4013

## <u>ORDER</u>

AND NOW, this day of January, 2017, upon consideration of plaintiff's motion for reconsideration (ECF No. 12) and his exhibits (ECF No. 13), which the Court has construed together as a motion for reconsideration and amended complaint, it is ORDERED that:

- The motion for reconsideration is GRANTED for the purpose of considering Mr.
  Keel's filings, which the Court has construed as an amended complaint.
- 2. The amended complaint is DISMISSED for failure to state a claim, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii), for the reasons discussed in the Court's memorandum. Mr. Keel may not file an amended complaint in this case. However, any claims that are currently barred by *Heck v. Humphrey*, 512 U.S. 477 (1994) are dismissed without prejudice to Mr. Keel filing a new civil action against an appropriate defendant or defendants in the event his convictions and sentence are overturned or otherwise called into question.
  - 3. The Clerk of Court shall CLOSE this case.

BY THE COURT:

GENE E⁄K. PRATTER, J