

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

JOEL HENDRICK, individually and as	:	CIVIL ACTION
a representative of all other persons	:	
similarly situated	:	NO. 16-4069
<i>Plaintiff</i>	:	
	:	
v.	:	
	:	
ARAMARK CORPORATION, <i>et al.</i>	:	
<i>Defendants</i>	:	

**O R D E R**

AND NOW, this 18<sup>th</sup> day of April 2017, upon consideration of Defendants’ *motion to dismiss*, [ECF 15], Plaintiff’s opposition to Defendants’ motion to dismiss, [ECF 17], Defendants’ reply, [ECF 18], Defendants’ notice of supplemental authority, [ECF 20], Plaintiff’s opposition to Defendants’ notice of supplemental authority, [ECF 21], and the allegations contained in Plaintiff’s complaint, [ECF 1], it is hereby **ORDERED** that, for the reasons set forth in the accompanying Memorandum Opinion, the motion to dismiss is **GRANTED**, and this action is **DISMISSED**. The Clerk of Court is directed to mark this matter **CLOSED**.

**BY THE COURT:**

/s/ Nitza I. Quiñones Alejandro  
**NITZA I. QUIÑONES ALEJANDRO**  
*Judge, United States District Court*