## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

ARTHUR JOHNSON,

Petitioner,

CIVIL ACTION

v.

KATE BARKMAN, O

NO. 16-cv-4661

MARK CAPOZZA, et al. Respondents.

ORDER

AND NOW, this 30 day of WR

, 2018, upon careful and

independent consideration of the petition for a writ of habeas corpus filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C.

§ 2254, and after review of the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge

and objections Thereto Lynne A. Sitarski IT IS ORDERED that:

- The Report and Recommendation is APPROVED and ADOPTED.
- The petition for a writ for habeas corpus filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 is DENIED:
- 3. There is <u>no</u> basis for the issuance of a certificate of appealability.

BERLE M. SCHILLER,

I have substituted Mark Capozza, who is the current Superintendent of SCI Fayette, as the respondent in this case. See Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases, Rule 2 (requiring the current custodian to be named as respondent).