

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

PETER HUMPHREY, et al.	:	CIVIL ACTION
<i>Plaintiffs</i>	:	
	:	NO. 16-5924
v.	:	
	:	
GLAXOSMITHKLINE, PLC., et al.	:	
<i>Defendants</i>	:	

ORDER

AND NOW, this 29th day of September 2017, upon consideration of the *motion to dismiss* filed by Defendants GlaxoSmithKline plc and GlaxoSmithKline LLC (“Defendants”), [ECF 19], the response in opposition filed by Plaintiffs Peter Humphrey, Yu Yingzeng, and ChinaWhys Company Ltd. (“Plaintiffs”), [ECF23], Plaintiffs’ declaration in support of their response, [ECF 24], Defendants’ reply, [ECF 25], and Defendants’ notice of supplemental authority, [ECF 26], it is hereby **ORDERED**, for the reasons set forth in the accompanying Memorandum Opinion filed on this day, that Defendants’ motion to dismiss is **GRANTED**, and Plaintiffs’ complaint, [ECF 1], is **DISMISSED**.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Nitza I. Quiñones Alejandro
NITZA I. QUIÑONES ALEJANDRO
Judge, United States District Court