## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

WILLIAM LEAK, :

Petitioner, :

:

v. : CIVIL ACTION NO. 17-CV-2608

:

SUPERINTENDENT : MICHAEL CLARK, et al., Respondents. :

## **ORDER**

**AND NOW**, this 13th day of September 2022, upon consideration of Petitioner William Leak's Motion for Relief from Judgment Under Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b) (ECF No. 84), it is **ORDERED** that:

- 1. The Motion is **DISMISSED** for lack of subject matter jurisdiction without prejudice to Leak's right to file with the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit an application to file a second or successive habeas petition. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b)(3)(A).
- 2. A Certificate of Appealability is **DENIED** pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c) because reasonable jurists would not debate the propriety of this Court's procedural ruling with respect to these claims. *See Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000).

## **BY THE COURT:**

/s/Wendy Beetlestone, J.

WENDY BEETLESTONE, J.