MAY v. BERRYHILL Doc. 16

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

KEVIN JOSEPH MAY : CIVIL ACTION

:

v.

: NO. 17-3123

NANCY A. BERRYHILL :

## **ORDER**

AND NOW, this 6<sup>th</sup> day of February 2018, upon considering Plaintiff's Petition for Review (ECF Doc. No. 3) and Brief (ECF Doc. No. 10), the Commissioner's Response (ECF Doc. No. 11), Plaintiff's Reply (ECF Doc. No. 14) and for reasons in the accompanying Memorandum, it is **ORDERED** Plaintiff's Petition for Review (ECF Doc. No. 3) is **GRANTED** in part:

- 1. We **remand** to the Commissioner to promptly conduct a new hearing to reevaluate the validity of all of Plaintiff's IQ tests, make a finding on the validity of the IQ tests, explain the reasoning for rejecting as invalid IQ tests, if at all, in the context of Plaintiff's adaptive functioning, and determine whether Plaintiff meets the listing criteria of 12.05B or 12.05C; and,
- 2. To ensure proper review, the Commissioner shall file a status memorandum detailing the progress on the remand required under this Order on **April 6**, **2018** and every sixty days thereafter until reaching a final Order on the remand.

KEARNEY. L