## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CONTINENTAL CASUALTY COMPANY,	: CIVIL ACTION
Plaintiff,	:
<b>v.</b>	: No. 17-4183
PENNSYLVANIA NATIONAL MUTUAL	•
CASUALTY INSURANCE COMPANY,	:
Defendant.	:

#### <u>ORDER</u>

AND NOW, this 14<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2021, upon consideration of Plaintiff Continental

Casualty Company's ("Continental") Motion to Alter and/or Amend Judgment (Doc. No. 107),

Defendant Pennsylvania National Mutual Casualty Insurance Company's ("Penn National")

Response (Doc. No. 110), Continental's Reply (Doc. No. 111), and Penn National's Sur-reply

(Doc. No. 112), it is hereby **ORDERED** that the Motion is **GRANTED IN PART** as follows:

1. The sentence on page 8 of the March 12, 2021 Memorandum Opinion that reads:

Thus, the Penn National Primary Policy covers Shady Maple's vicarious liability for Marquet-Sandt's negligence only if Marquet-Sandt, acting with Shady Maple's permission, "borrowed" the Yukon.

#### is **AMENDED** to read:

Thus, the Penn National Primary Policy covers Marquet-Sandt only if Marquet-Sandt, acting with Shady Maple's permission, "borrowed" the Yukon.

2. The last paragraph on page 18 of the March 12, 2021 Memorandum Opinion that reads:

As Shady Maple did not "borrow" the car, neither the Penn National Primary Policy nor the Penn National Excess Policy was triggered, meaning that Penn National owed no defense or coverage in the underlying <u>Esakoff</u> action. In turn, Plaintiff Continental is not entitled to equitable contribution from Penn National for any amounts it paid in connection with the settlement of the <u>Esakoff</u> action. Accordingly, I will grant judgment in favor of Defendant and against Plaintiff.

## is **AMENDED** to read:

As Shady Maple did not "borrow" the car, neither the Penn National Primary Policy nor the Penn National Excess Policy was triggered to provide coverage for Marquet-Sandt, meaning that Penn National owed no defense or coverage for Marquet-Sandt in the underlying <u>Esakoff</u> action. In turn, Plaintiff Continental is not entitled to equitable contribution from Penn National for any amounts it paid in connection with the settlement of the <u>Esakoff</u> action on Marquet-Sandt's behalf.

3. The additional discussion set forth in this Order's accompanying Memorandum Opinion

regarding Continental's entitlement to equitable contribution from Penn National for

amounts paid on behalf of Shady Maple for its vicarious liability in the Esakoff action is

# **INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE.**

## It is FURTHER ORDERED that JUDGMENT IS ENTERED in favor of Defendant

Penn National and against Plaintiff Continental.

# **BY THE COURT:**

<u>/s/ Mitchell S. Goldberg</u> MITCHELL S. GOLDBERG, J.