IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

ANTHONY JOHNSON,   :
    Plaintiff,   :
                                             :
v.   :   **CIVIL ACTION NO. 24-CV-5776**
                                             :
CITY OF PHILADELPHIA,   :
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES, *et al.,*:
    Defendants.   :

ORDER

AND NOW, this 7th day of March, 2025, upon consideration of Plaintiff Anthony

Johnson's *pro se* Complaint (ECF No. 1) it is **ORDERED** that:

1.   The Clerk of Court is **DIRECTED** to mark Johnson's Complaint as "case

participant view only."

2.   The Complaint is **DISMISSED IN PART WITH PREJUDICE AND IN PART**

WITHOUT PREJUDICE for the reasons stated in the Court's Memorandum as follows:

    a.   Insofar as Johnson seeks to overturn the state court custody decision

regarding custody of his son, his claims are barred pursuant to the *Rooker-Feldman*

doctrine and will be **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE** for lack of subject matter

jurisdiction. Johnson will not be granted leave to amend these claims.

    b.   The remainder of Johnson's Complaint is **DISMISSED WITH**

PREJUDICE.

3.   The Clerk of Court is **DIRECTED** to **CLOSE** this case.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Chad F. Kenney

CHAD F. KENNEY