

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

MARY ANN CIARLONE, et al.	:	Civil Case
Plaintiffs,	:	
	:	
v.	:	No. 09-310
	:	
CITY OF READING, et al.	:	
Defendants,	:	

ORDER

**AND NOW**, this 20th day of January, 2011, upon consideration of defendants, Brad Reinhart, James Orrs, and the City of Reading's motion for summary judgment (Doc. # 79), and all responses and replies thereto, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that:

1. The motion is **GRANTED** in part and denied in part;
2. The motion is **DENIED** as to plaintiffs' Fourth Amendment claim;
3. The motion is **DENIED** as to plaintiffs' violation of privacy claim;
4. The motion is **DENIED** as to plaintiffs' Fourteenth Amendment claim;
5. The motion is **GRANTED** as to plaintiffs' First Amendment retaliation claim;
6. The motion is **GRANTED** as to plaintiffs' failure to train claim; and
7. The motion is **DENIED** as to defendants' claim for qualified immunity.

**BY THE COURT:**

/s/ LAWRENCE F. STENGEL  
\_\_\_\_\_  
LAWRENCE F. STENGEL, J.