

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

CHERYL ANN GRUBER,
Plaintiff,

v.

PPL RETIREMENT PLAN, et al.,
Defendants.

:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:

CIVIL ACTION

No. 5:11-cv-2188

ORDER

AND NOW, this 27th day of March, 2012, upon consideration of the cross-motions for summary judgment, and the responses and replies thereto, and for the reasons set forth in the Court’s Memorandum Opinion, it is hereby **ORDERED** that Defendants’ Motion for Summary Judgment (Doc. No. 10) is **GRANTED**, and Plaintiff’s Motion for Summary Judgment (Doc. No. 9) is **DENIED. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that **JUDGMENT** is entered in favor of Defendants. The Clerk of Court is directed to mark this matter **CLOSED** for statistical purposes.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ **Mitchell S. Goldberg**

Mitchell S. Goldberg, J.