

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

MICA D. SPADY,

Plaintiff,

v.

THE BETHLEHEM AREA SCHOOL  
DISTRICT, et al.,

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION  
NO. 12-6731

**ORDER**

**AND NOW**, this 29th day of November 2016, upon consideration of Defendant's Motion for Reconsideration of the Court's Order Denying Summary Judgment (Doc. Nos. 44, 74), Plaintiff's Brief in Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Reconsideration (Doc. No. 75), Defendant's Reply Brief in Support of its Motion for Reconsideration (Doc. No. 76), Plaintiff's Supplemental Memorandum (Doc. No. 79), and Defendant's Supplemental Memorandum (Doc. No. 80), and in accordance with the Opinion of this Court issued this day, it is **ORDERED** that Defendant's Motion for Reconsideration (Doc. No. 74) is **GRANTED**. It is **FURTHER ORDERED** that Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment (Doc. No. 44) is **DENIED**.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Joel H. Slomsky  
JOEL H. SLOMSKY, J.