

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

RICHARD HOFFMAN,

Plaintiff,

v.

CITY OF BETHLEHEM,

Defendant.

:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:

CIVIL ACTION NO. 16-1581

ORDER

AND NOW, this 20th day of July, 2017, after considering the defendant’s motion for summary judgment (Doc. No. 25), the plaintiff’s response (Doc. No. 28), and the various documents attached to the aforementioned filings (Doc. Nos. 26, 27); accordingly, and for the reasons set forth in the separately filed memorandum opinion, it is hereby **ORDERED** as follows:

1. The motion for summary judgment (Doc. No. 25) is **GRANTED**;
2. Judgment is **ENTERED** in favor of the defendant, the City of Bethlehem; and
3. The clerk of court shall mark this matter as **CLOSED**.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Edward G. Smith
EDWARD G. SMITH, J.