IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

AMIN L. OWENS, : CIVIL ACTION

: NO. 16-5361

Petitioner,

:

V •

:

JAMEY LUTHER, et al., :

:

Respondents.

ORDER

AND NOW, this 18th day of June, 2018, after review of the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Timothy R. Rice (ECF No. 21) it is hereby ORDERED as follows:

1. The Report and Recommendation is APPROVED and ADOPTED; 1

When, as in this case, neither party files timely objections to a magistrate judge's report and recommendation ("R&R") on a dispositive issue, the district court is not required to review the R&R before adopting it. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985) ("It does not appear that Congress intended to require district court review of a magistrate's factual or legal conclusions, under a de novo or any other standard, when neither party objects to those findings."). However, the Third Circuit has held that "in the absence of objections . . . the better practice is for the district judge to afford some level of review to dispositive legal issues raised by the report." Henderson v. Carlson, 812 F.2d 874, 878 (3d Cir. 1987); see also Fed R. Civ. P. 72, 1983 advisory committee notes ("When no timely objection is filed, the court need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation."). In that neither party has filed objections to the R&R, this Court has reviewed it for clear error and has found none.

- 2. The Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus (ECF No. 1) is **DENIED** and **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**;
- 3. A certificate of appealability shall not issue; and
- 4. The Clerk shall mark this case CLOSED.

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/ Eduardo C. Robreno
EDUARDO C. ROBRENO, J.