7

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CAROL KUNSMAN,

Plaintiff,

v.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 17-4619

METROPOLITAN DIRECT PROPERTY AND CASUALTY INSURANCE COMPANY,

Defendant.

FILED
JUN 2 1 2018

KATE BARKMAN, Clerk By Dep. Clerk

ORDER

AND NOW, this day of June, 2018, upon reviewing Defendant Metropolitan Direct Property and Casualty Insurance Company's ("MetLife") Motion to Dismiss (Docket No. 10), all supporting and opposing papers, and for the reasons stated in the accompanying memorandum opinion, it is hereby **ORDERED** as follows:

- Defendant MetLife's Motion to Dismiss (Docket No. 10) is DENIED in part and GRANTED in part.
- 2. Plaintiff Kunsman's Bad Faith claim (Count II) will remain.
- 3. Paragraphs 31, 33, and 34 shall be stricken from Plaintiff Kunsman's Amended Complaint.

BY THE COURT:

effre L. Schmehl, J.