

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

CAROLYN GARDNER	:	CIVIL ACTION
	:	
v.	:	NO. 22-1034
	:	
KUTZTOWN UNIVERSITY, et al.	:	

ORDER

AND NOW, this 27th day of March, 2024, it is hereby **ORDERED** that:

1. The Plaintiff's Motion for Partial Summary Judgement [Doc. 43] is **GRANTED**.
2. Judgment is **ENTERED** in favor of the Plaintiff and against Defendant Kutztown University on Counts I, II, III, IV, V and the part of Count VI asserting a claim for interference.
3. The Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment [Doc. 63] is **GRANTED** in part and **DENIED** in part.
4. The Motion is **GRANTED** as to Counts VII, VIII, XI and XII and the part of Count VI asserting a claim for retaliation.
5. The motion is **DENIED** as to all other Counts.
6. Judgment is **ENTERED** in favor of Defendant Kutztown University and against the Plaintiff on the part of Count VI asserting a claim for retaliation.
7. Judgment is **ENTERED** in favor of Defendant Kenneth Hawkinson and against Plaintiff on Counts VII and VIII of the Complaint.
8. Judgment is **ENTERED** in favor of Defendant Jesus Pena and against Plaintiff on Count XI of the Complaint.

9. Judgment is **ENTERED** in favor of Defendant Jennifer Weidman and against Plaintiff on Count XII of the Complaint.¹

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Jeffrey L. Schmehl
JEFFREY L. SCHMEHL, J.

¹ There are no Counts IX or X listed in the Complaint.