IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CHARLES BRESLIN, et al.,	:	CIVIL ACTION
Plaintiffs	:	
	:	
vs.	:	NO. 1:09-cv-1396
	:	
DICKINSON TOWNSHIP, et al.,	:	
Defendants	:	

<u>ORDER</u>

AND NOW, this 21st day of February 2013, upon careful and independent review of the defendants' motions for summary judgment (Documents #195 and #201), the plaintiffs' responses thereto (Documents #211, #212, #213, and #214); and after review of the thorough and well-reasoned Report & Recommendation of the Honorable Martin C. Carlson, United States Magistrate Judge, and a de novo review of those portions of the Report & Recommendation to which objections have been filed, it is hereby ORDERED that:

- 1. Defendant Jones' objections are OVERRULED;
- 2. The plaintiffs' objections are OVERRULED;
- 3. The Report & Recommendation is APPROVED and ADOPTED;

4. The motions for summary judgment is GRANTED in part, and DENIED in part, as follows:

- (a) Summary Judgment is GRANTED on the following claims:
 - (i) all claims made by Plaintiff Paul Cunningham;
 - (ii) all substantive First Amendment claims, except for the plaintiffs' claims arising out of the actions of Defendant Jones on December 8, 2008; and,

- (iii) all First Amendment retaliation claims relating to the processing of state Right-to-Know law requests by the defendants.
- (b) Summary Judgment is DENIED as to any substantive First

Amendment or First Amendment retaliation claims relating specifically to Defendant

Jones' actions on December 8, 2008.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Lawrence F. Stengel LAWRENCE F. STENGEL, J.