## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DAVID L. MORRIS, PAMELA **CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:09-CV-1739** 

MORRIS, and RANDY MORRIS,

**Plaintiffs** (Judge Conner)

v.

RONALD KESSELRING, et al., **Defendants** 

## ORDER

AND NOW, this 6th day of December, 2010, upon consideration of plaintiffs' objections and supporting brief (Docs. 103, 104) to the memorandum and nondispositive order of the Honorable William T. Prince dated October 27, 2010 (Doc. 102), as well as the response of defendants Brady, Kesselring, Rowe, Whitten, and Zumbrum (Doc. 105) thereto, and the court concluding that plaintiffs' amended complaint remains clearly deficient in its failure to apprise the reader of necessary factual details (i.e. . . . "who is alleged to have done what to whom and when." October 27, 2010 Memorandum at p. 5), and the court finding no portion of Judge Prince's order to be "clearly erroneous or contrary to law," See L.R. 72.2, it is hereby ORDERED that plaintiffs' objections are DENIED. It is hereby ORDERED

that plaintiffs shall file their second amended complaint on or before December 28, 2010, and responses thereto shall be filed in accordance with the procedures and subject to the conditions set forth in Judge Prince's October 27, 2010, Memorandum and Order.

S/ Christopher C. Conner CHRISTOPHER C. CONNER United States District Judge