

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

MARTIN GROSS,	:	CIVIL ACTION
Plaintiff	:	
v.	:	
	:	NO. 10-2380
R.T. REYNOLDS, et al.,	:	
Defendants	:	

ORDER

AND NOW, this 22nd day of September, 2011, upon careful consideration of defendants' motions to dismiss (Document Nos. 36, 38, and 48), plaintiff's briefs in opposition to the motions to dismiss (Document Nos. 49 and 51), and defendant's reply brief (Document No. 52), **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that:

1. Defendants' motions to dismiss (Document Nos. 36 and 48) are **GRANTED**, the Court having declined to exercise supplemental jurisdiction over plaintiff's breach of contract claim asserted in Count Two of the Amended Complaint.
2. Defendants' motion to dismiss (Document No. 38) is **DISMISSED** as moot.
3. The Clerk of Court is directed to mark this case **CLOSED**.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Lawrence F. Stengel _____
LAWRENCE F. STENGEL, J.