

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

**LINDA G. BRYANT, et al.,**  
**Plaintiffs**

**v.**

**WILLIAM VERNOSKI,**  
**Defendant**

:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:  
:

**Civil Action No.**

**11-263**

**ORDER**

**AND NOW**, this 1st day of September, 2011, upon consideration of defendant William Vernouski's motion to dismiss the amended complaint (Doc. # 13), and all responses and replies thereto, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that:

1. The motion is **GRANTED** in part and **DENIED** in part in accordance with the accompanying memorandum;
2. Defendant's motion to dismiss claims raised by Linda Bryant is **GRANTED**;
3. Defendant's motion to dismiss Nikita Reid's, Shawn Reid's and J.B.'s Fourteenth Amendment claim, First Amendment claim, Fourth Amendment malicious prosecution claim, and state law malicious prosecution claim is **GRANTED**;
4. Defendant's motion to dismiss Shawn Reid's intentional infliction of emotional distress claim is **GRANTED**;
5. Defendant's motion to dismiss Ms. Reid's, Mr. Reid's, and J.B.'s Fourth Amendment unreasonable seizure claim is **DENIED**; and
6. Defendant's motion to dismiss Ms. Reid's and J.B.'s intentional infliction of emotional distress claim is **DENIED**.

**BY THE COURT:**

/s/ LAWRENCE F. STENGEL  
LAWRENCE F. STENGEL, J.