Crawford v. McMillan et al Doc. 11

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA

LEE E. CRAWFORD,

Plaintiff,

: No. 1:17-CV-00641

(Judge Rambo)

WARDEN ROBERT MCMILLAN,: et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER

AND NOW, **THEREFORE**, this 9th day of June, 2017, in accordance with the accompanying memorandum, **IT IS ORDERED THAT**:

- 1. Plaintiff's motion to proceed <u>in forma pauperis</u> (Doc. Nos. 2 and 7) are construed as motions to proceed without full prepayment of the filing fee and are **GRANTED**;
- 2. Pursuant to the screening provisions of the Prison Litigation Reform Act, Plaintiff's complaint (Doc. No. 1) is **DISMISSED** for being barred by the doctrines of res judicata and the statute of limitations, and thus, for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted and without leave to file an amended complaint as it would be futile; and
- 3. The Clerk of Court is directed to **CLOSE** this case.

s/Sylvia H. Rambo
SYLVIA H. RAMBO
United States District Judge