

EXHIBIT F

White and Williams LLP

1650 Market Street
One Liberty Place, Suite 1800
Philadelphia, PA 19103-7395
Phone: 215.864.7000
Fax: 215.864.7123



Michelle D. Coburn
Direct Dial: 215.864.6355
Direct Fax: 215.789.7516
coburnm@whiteandwilliams.com

June 8, 2010

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Magistrate Judge Malachy Mannion
Max Rosen U. S. Courthouse
Courtroom 1
197 South Main Street
Wilkes-Barre, PA 18701

***RE: Reisinger v. Seneca Insurance Company
U.S.D.C., Middle District of PA, No. 3:07-cv-01221***

Dear Judge Mannion:

Please accept this letter as opposition to Plaintiff, Joseph R. Reisinger's Motion for Enlargement of Time to Respond to Defendant, Seneca Insurance Company's Motion for Summary Judgment. Having previously agreed to one substantial extension, Seneca opposes Plaintiff's most recent Motion for Enlargement of Time for the reason set forth below.

As Your Honor well knows, this case has been fraught with repeated extensions, continuances and delays. Reisinger has filed motions for extensions, canceled depositions (and/or failed to respond to our requests to schedule depositions), and canceled the appraisal based on various excuses including tax season, health issues, backlog of scheduling, unfamiliarity with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, lack of trial experience, the need to make application to the bankruptcy court for declaratory judgment, storm damage to his computer network, and the need for time to become familiar with new installs on his computer network. The current motion is being sought because "plaintiff is overwhelmed" and "plaintiff does not have any prior experience with federal court procedures." It is respectfully submitted that these are not legitimate reasons for plaintiff to secure yet another extension of time to respond to Seneca's Motion for Summary Judgment.

This court should be aware that Reisinger instituted another lawsuit against the Bankruptcy Court appointed trustee in his personal bankruptcy action, Markian R. Slobodian, apparently based on Mr. Slobodian's efforts to resolve this matter. That case was removed by Mr. Slobodian to the Middle District of Pennsylvania and is docketed at case number 3:10-cv-00334-WJN-TMB. According to the dockets, Reisinger recently filed a Motion for Enlargement of Time to File a Brief in Opposition to Mr. Slobodian's Motion to Dismiss (his second such request) apparently due to storm damage his office sustained on May 8, 2010.

*Berwyn, PA • Boston, MA • Cherry Hill, NJ • Conshohocken, PA • Lehigh County, PA
New York, NY • Paramus, NJ • Philadelphia, PA • Pittsburgh, PA • Wilmington, DE*


Magistrate Judge Malachy Mannion
June 8, 2010
Page 2

Finally, Reisinger requested our concurrence or non-concurrence in this motion at 9:42 a.m. this morning. At 1:29 p.m., he filed his motion representing to the Court that he heard no response from Seneca concerning its position. The undersigned counsel sent a facsimile to Reisinger at 1:36 p.m. stating that Seneca opposes this motion. We did not receive any phone calls from Reisinger prior to the filing of this motion.

Thank you for Your Honor's consideration.

Respectfully yours,

WHITE AND WILLIAMS LLP

By: 
Christopher P. Leise
Michelle D. Coburn

MDC:ss
cc: Joseph R. Reisinger, Esquire
Kevin Walsh, Esquire