IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

JOSEPH R. REISINGER, : CIVIL NO. 3:09-CV-0210

:

Plaintiff, :

: (Judge Conaboy)

v. :

: (Magistrate Judge Carlson)

CITY OF WILKES-BARRE, et.al.,

:

Defendants:

ORDER

This case has been assigned to a judge and referred to the undersigned as a magistrate judge, for pretrial management. Accordingly, the purpose of this order is to direct the parties to confer, and prepare a joint supplemental case management plan in this case. This plan should identify all remaining discovery in the case, any motions the parties contemplate filing, and set forth a proposed schedule for the prompt resolution of this case. **The completed plan must be filed on or before January 6, 2011**.

2. A telephonic case management conference will be conducted by the Court on <u>January 13, 2011 at 10:00 a.m.</u>, with the Plaintiff arranging the call for all parties and contacting Judge Carlson's chambers, at (717) 614-4120.

The primary purpose of this conference will be to establish case 3.

management deadlines to enable this action to go forward as efficiently as possible.

Participation in this conference by counsel or by pro se litigants is mandatory.

4. If counsel of record is unable to participate in the conference, the Court

shall be notified of the name of the substitute counsel two business days in advance

of the date of the conference. This information can be conveyed to the Court by

contacting the undersigned's Deputy Clerk, Kevin Neary at (717) 221-3924 or by

email at Kevin Neary@pamd.uscourts.gov.

5. The parties are advised that once the deadlines have been established

extensions of those time periods will not be granted, except under unusual

circumstances and for good cause. The Case Management Order will contain the

following provision: Any motion for an extension of the discovery deadline shall be

accompanied by a statement of each discovery step taken by the party seeking the

extension, an explanation of how the progress of discovery differed from the plans

and assumptions leading to the agreed discovery deadline in the joint case

management plan, and a statement of counsel of why discovery could not be

completed within the agreed discovery period.

/s/ Martin C. Carlson

United States Magistrate Judge

Dated: December 16, 2010