



2015 (Doc. 48) directing him to file a Second Amended Complaint within thirty (30) days;

(7) No Objections have been filed to the Report and Recommendation;

AND, IT FURTHER APPEARING THAT:

(8) If no objections are filed to a Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation, the plaintiff is not statutorily entitled to a *de novo* review of his claims. 28 U.S.C.A. §636(b)(1)(C); *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 150-53 (1985). Nonetheless, the usual practice of the district court is to give "reasoned consideration" to a magistrate judge's report prior to adopting it. *Henderson v. Carlson*, 812 F.2d 874, 878 (3d Cir. 1987);

(9) We have reviewed the Report of the Magistrate Judge and agree with her recommendation;

ACCORDINGLY, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

(1) The Report and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge Karoline Mehalchick (Doc. 49) filed on December 23, 2015, is **ADOPTED**;

(2) The above-captioned action is **DISMISSED**; and,

(3) The Clerk of Court is directed to **CLOSE** this case and to forward a copy of this Order to the Magistrate Judge.

s/Edwin M. Kosik  
Edwin M. Kosik  
United States District Judge