## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

ALEXANDER BRUNELLE, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

3:15-CV-960

٧.

(JUDGE MARIANI)

CITY OF SCRANTON, et al.,

Defendants.

**ORDER** 

AND NOW, THIS \_\_\_\_\_DAY OF AUGUST, 2018, upon review of Magistrate Judge Carlson's Report and Recommendation ("R&R") (Doc. 74) for clear error or manifest injustice, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- 1. The R&R (Doc. 74) is **ADOPTED** for the reasons set forth therein.
- 2. Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (Doc. 44) is **DENIED** with respect to all claims **except** for Plaintiff's Taking Clause claim contained within Count V of the Amended Complaint.
- 3. Plaintiff's Takings Clause claim in Count V of the Amended Complaint is **STAYED** pending the Supreme Court's decision in *Knick v. Twp. of Scott*, 138 S.Ct. 1262.

Robert D. Mariani-

United States District Judge

udrain