THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

ERIC YOST, individually and on behalf of a class of similarly situated individuals,

Plaintiff,

v. : 3:16-CV-00079

(JUDGE MARIANI)

ANTHEM LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY

Defendant.

ORDER

AND NOW, THIS DAY OF OCTOBER, 2017, upon consideration of Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Amended Complaint (Doc. 30), IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT the Defendant's Motion is GRANTED IN PART AND DENIED IN PART as follows:

- 1. As stated in the Memorandum Opinion, the Court finds Count I (Rule of Decision) is not properly pled as an independent claim for relief, but contains allegations integral to Count II. The Court therefore construes the allegations in Count I (Doc. 30 ¶¶ 72–76) as incorporated into Count II (Declaratory Relief) of the Amended Complaint pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(f) ("Pleadings must be construed so as to do justice").
- 2. As stated in the Memorandum Opinion, the Court finds Count VI (Relief Demanded) to be a statement of requested relief. The title "Count VI" is therefore **STRICKEN**

- from the Amended Complaint. (Doc. 30 at 27). The allegations in Count VI will be construed as a prayer for relief.
- 3. As stated in the Memorandum Opinion, subparagraphs (7)-(13) under Relief

 Demanded for Count III of the Amended Complaint (Doc. 30 at 29–30), as well as all references to "mandamus" under the Relief Demanded section of the Amended Complaint (Doc. 30 at 29, 31, 33), are **STRICKEN**.
- Count IV (Breach of Fiduciary Duty Misrepresentation) of the Amended Complaint
 is DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE for failure to state a claim pursuant to Rule
 12(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Count II (Declaratory Relief), Count III (Violation of Employee Welfare Benefit Plan and Policy), and Count V (Breach of Fiduciary Duty – Duty of Loyalty) is **DENIED**.

Robert D. Mariani

United States District Judge