

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

LILIA Y. PAGAN,	:	No. 3:17cv0317
Plaintiff	:	
	:	(Judge Munley)
v.	:	
	:	(Magistrate Judge Carlson)
NANCY A. BERRYHILL,	:	
Acting Commissioner of SSA,	:	
Defendant	:	

.....

ORDER

AND NOW, to wit, this 17<sup>th</sup> day of November 2017, we have before us for disposition Magistrate Judge Martin C. Carlson’s report and recommendation (Doc. 17), which proposes this case be remanded for reconsideration of the plaintiff’s application.

No objections to the report and recommendation have been filed, and the time for such filing has passed. Moreover, the government has waived the opportunity to file objections. (Doc. 18). Therefore, in deciding whether to adopt the report and recommendation, we shall determine if a review of the record evidences plain error or manifest injustice. FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b) 1983 Advisory Committee Notes (“When no timely objection is filed, the court need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record to accept the recommendation”); see also 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Sullivan v. Cuyler, 723 F.2d 1077, 1085 (3d Cir. 1983).


After a careful review, we find neither a clear error on the face of the record nor a manifest injustice, and therefore, we shall adopt the report and recommendation. It is hereby **ORDERED** as follows:

1) The magistrate judge's report and recommendation (Doc. 17) is **ADOPTED**;

2) The Clerk of Court is directed to remand this case for further proceedings in accordance with the report and recommendation; and

3) The Clerk of Court is directed to enter judgment in favor of plaintiff and close this case.

**BY THE COURT:**

  
**JUDGE JAMES M. MUNLEY**  
**United States District Court**