Reed v. Williams et al Doc. 55

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CHRISTOPHER REED, No. 3:18-CV-00377

Plaintiff, (Judge Brann)

v.

WILLIAM WILLIAMS, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER

OCTOBER 21, 2020

In accordance with the accompanying Memorandum Opinion, IT IS

HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. Commonwealth Defendants' motion to dismiss (Doc. 37) is **GRANTED**.
- 2. The Medical Defendants' motion to dismiss (Doc. 38) is **GRANTED**.
- 3. The Somerset Defendants' motion to dismiss (Doc. 40) is **GRANTED**.
- 4. All claims against Defendant Valerie Senko are **DISMISSED** with prejudice pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(m).
- 5. The Court **DECLINES** to exercise supplemental jurisdiction over Reed's state claim against Somerset Hospital to the extent such a claim exists.
- 6. Reed's second amended complaint is **DISMISSED** with prejudice pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii).

- 7. The Clerk of Court is directed to **CLOSE** this case.
- 8. Any appeal from this Order will be deemed frivolous, lacking merit, and not taken in good faith.

BY THE COURT:

s/Matthew W. BrannMatthew W. BrannUnited States District Judge