Castro v. Gilmore et al Doc. 26

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

JOSE ALBERT CASTRO,

No. 4:15-CV-1833

Petitioner.

(Judge Brann)

v.

(Magistrate Judge Carlson)

ROBERT GILMORE, et al.,

Respondents.

## <u>ORDER</u>

## **APRIL 30, 2019**

- 1. On September 21, 2015, Petitioner filed the instant Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. ECF No. 1
- 2. On September 20, 2017, Magistrate Judge Martin C. Carlson issued a Report and Recommendation in which he recommended that the petition be denied and that a certificate of appealability not issue. ECF No. 18.
- On November 28, 2017, this Court adopted Magistrate Judge Carlson's Report and Recommendation in its entirety and denied Petitioner's Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus. ECF No. 19.
- 4. Petitioner appealed, ECF No. 21, and on April 19, 2018, the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit denied Petitioner's request for a certificate of appealability. ECF No. 22.

5. On March 11, 2019, Petitioner filed a Motion to Reopen Case. ECF No.

23.

6. On March 27, 2019, Magistrate Judge Carlson issued a Report and

Recommendation in which he recommended that Petitioner's motion to

reopen his case be denied. ECF No. 24.

7. On April 11, 2019, Petitioner objected to Magistrate Judge Carlson's

Report and Recommendation. ECF No. 25.

8. As a result of Plaintiff's objections, this Court has reviewed the Report

and Recommendation de novo.1

9. Finding that Plaintiff's objections are meritless, this Court **ADOPTS** the

Report and Recommendation, ECF No. 24, in its entirety.

10. Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Petitioner's Motion to

Reopen Case is **DENIED**.

BY THE COURT:

s/Matthew W. Brann

Matthew W. Brann

United States District Judge

<sup>1</sup> 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).