IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DARREN MICHAELS,
Plaintiff,
vs.
BAJ ALEXANDRA and UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, INC.

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 12-219E

MEMORANDUM ORDER

))))))))

Pending before the Court is Plaintiff's "Motion for More Definite Statement Rule

12(e)(g)" [ECF #41].

Fed.R.Civ.P. 12(e) states:

(e) Motion for a More Definite Statement. A party may move for a more definite statement of a pleading to which a responsive pleading is allowed but which is so vague or ambiguous that the party cannot reasonably prepare a response. The motion must be made before filing a responsive pleading and must point out the defects complained of and the details desired. If the court orders a more definite statement and the order is not obeyed within 14 days after notice of the order or within the time the court sets, the court may strike the pleading or issue any other appropriate order.

- Id. To date, Defendants have not filed "a pleading to which a responsive pleading is allowed."
- Id. Accordingly, Plaintiff's Motion must be denied as being premature.

AND NOW, this ______Th day of July, 2013, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND

DECREED that Plaintiff's "Motion for More Definite Statement Rule 12(e)(g)" [ECF #41] is

DENIED without prejudice.

Maurie B Co hills, Jr.

Maurice B. Cohill, Jr. Senior District Court Judge