


(5) “[t]he Defendants attorney’s pleadings show character attacks against the Plaintiff for merely defending his rights as protected under the first amendment. They have made misleading, and improper representations to the court in order to discredit the Plaintiff by bringing up other UNRELATED prior cases of litigation that has no nexus or connection to this matter whatsoever and should be sanctioned for bad faith conduct, malevolent statements, and unwarranted profiling of the plaintiff;” (6) “[t]he defendants have violated Rule 11, ‘*by making false, misleading, improper or frivolous representations to the court*’;” and (7) “[t]he defendants have refused to supply the Plaintiff a requested copy of the passport application in order for him to prove trust on the record.” Motion for Sanctions Fed.R.Civ.P. Rule 11, ¶¶ 23, 25, 30, 37, 60, 61, and 82.

Contrary to Plaintiff’s contention, we find no basis for imposing sanctions against defense counsel Hickton and Andrade. Plaintiff’s Motion for Sanctions Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1927 [ECF #24] and Motion for Sanctions Fed.R.Civ.P. Rule 11 [ECF #42] will be denied.

ORDER

AND NOW, this 15th day of July, 2013, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED that Plaintiff’s Motion for Sanctions Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1927 [ECF #24]; and Plaintiff’s Motion for Sanctions Fed.R.Civ.P. Rule 11 [ECF #42] are DENIED with prejudice.


Maurice B. Cohill, Jr.
Senior District Court Judge

cc: Darren Michaels, *pro se*