

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

REN JUDKINS,	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	Civil Action No. 07-0251
	)	
HT WINDOW FASHIONS CORP.,	)	
Defendant.	)	


ORDER

AND NOW, this 1<sup>st</sup> day of April, 2010, IT IS  
HEREBY ORDERED that the Court's memorandum dated March 30,  
2010, is amended as noted below. The amended text is  
indicated with bold text.

At page 43, bottom: "HT also contends that the  
Ford Patents should have been deemed prior art,  
and as such, would have rendered the '120 Patent  
obvious and **the '634 Patent** anticipated."

At page 45, top: "We know that the jury sided  
with Judkins on these issues because had it found  
the Ford Patents to be prior art, then it would  
necessarily have followed that the **'634 Patent**  
was invalid as anticipated because claim 10 of  
the Ford '550 Patent is identical to claim 10 of  
the '634 patent."

In all other respects, the court's memorandum shall remain unchanged.

BY THE COURT,  
 J. H. Lanza, J.

cc: All Counsel of Record