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## NOTICE OF HEARING SESSION

## Dear Counsel:

Pursuant to the order of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation filed today, you are hereby notified that a hearing session has been scheduled to consider various matters pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407.

DATE OF HEARING SESSION:

LOCATION OF HEARING SESSION:

May 31, 2007
Lloyd D. George United States Courthouse
Courtroom 7C, 7th Floor
333 Las Vegas Boulevard South
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

TIME OF HEARING SESSION: In those matters designated for oral argument, counsel presenting oral argument must be present at 8:30 a.m. in order for the Panel to allocate the amount of time for oral argument. Oral argument will commence at 9:30 a.m.

Please direct your attention to the enclosed Hearing Session Order and Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session for a listing of the matters scheduled for consideration at this hearing session.

- Section A of this Schedule lists the matters designated for oral argument.
- Section B of this Schedule lists the matters that the Panel has determined to consider without oral argument, pursuant to Rule 16.1(c), R.P.J.P.M.L., 199 F.R.D. 425, 439 (2001).

For those matters listed on Section A of the Schedule, the enclosed blue "Notice of Presentation or Waiver of Oral Argument" must be returned to this office no later than May 14, 2007. Note the procedures governing Panel oral argument which are outlined on the enclosed "Procedures for Oral Argument before the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation." These procedures are strictly adhered to and your cooperation is appreciated.

c: Clerk, U.S. District Court for the District of Nevada

## BEFORE THE JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

WM. TERRELL HODGES, CHAIRMAN, D. LOWELL JENSEN, J. FREDERICK MOTZ, ROBERT L. MILLER, JR., KATHRYN H. VRATIL, DAVID R. HANSEN AND ANTHONY J. SCIRICA, JUDGES OF THE PANEL

## HEARING SESSION ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that on May 31, 2007, a hearing session will be held in Las Vegas, Nevada, to consider the matters on the attached Schedule under 28 U.S.C. § 1407.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that at said hearing session the Panel may, on its own initiative, consider transfer of any or all of the actions in those matters to any district or districts.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that at said hearing session the matters listed on Section A of the attached Schedule shall be designated for oral argument.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that at said hearing session the matters listed on Section B of the attached Schedule shall be considered without oral argument, pursuant to Rule 16.1(c), R.P.J.P.M.L., 199 F.R.D. 425, 439 (2001). The Panel reserves the prerogative, on any basis including submissions of parties pursuant to Panel Rule 16.1(b), to issue a subsequent notice designating any of those matters for oral argument.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation shall direct notice of this hearing session to counsel for all parties involved in the matters on the attached Schedule.

FOR THE PANEL:


Wm. Terrell Hodges
Chairman

# SCHEDULE OF MATTERS FOR HEARING SESSION 

May 31, 2007 -- Las Vegas, Nevada

## SECTION A MATTERS DESIGNATED FOR ORAL ARGUMENT

## MDL-1835 -- In re Brian L. Roberts Litigation

Motion of plaintiff Brian L. Roberts for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York:

Southern District of New York
Brian L. Roberts v. Sony Corp., et al., C.A. No. 1:06-6337

## District of Utah

Brian L. Roberts v. Sony, et al., C.A. No. 2:04-673

## MDL-1836 -- In re Mirapex Products Liability Litigation

Motion of defendants Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., and Pfizer Inc. for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York or, in the alternative, the United States District Court for the District of Connecticut or other suitable United States district court:

Northern District of California
Therese Bottiglieri v. Pfizer Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:06-3248

## District of Maryland

William David Livingston, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:06-1887

## District of Minnesota

Gary Selinsky, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-873

Robert M. Zwayer, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-874

## District of Minnesota (Continued)

Michael A. Dubaich, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-875

Donald J. Nelsen v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-876

Larry Webb, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-898

Timothy Harms v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-899
Timothy L. Estep v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-900

Mary Conway v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-901
Dennis M. Scharpen, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-1206

Gary E. Charbonneau, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-1215

Todd R. Cain v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-1582
Manuel A. Quintela, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-1675

Thaddeus R. Fayard v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-2144

Hylton H. Dodd v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-2145

Michael W. Averitt, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-2194

William F. Courtney, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-2546

Richard I. Bloom, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-2577

Joyce A. Anderson v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-2578

Kathleen R. Frye, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-2662

Cynthia Harris, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-3009

## District of Minnesota (Continued)

Daniel M. Hayward, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-3180

Daniel F. Neal v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-3182
Peggy J. Bronson v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-3254

Theresa R. Seaman, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-3255

Madeline J. Vingers v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-3284

Irene M. Conejo v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-3519

Melody S. Erickson v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-3526

Alan Kite, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-3527

George P. Wagner v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-3537

Gordon J. Haughey, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-3539

Stella C. Rush, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-3544

Carl M. Milam, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-3778

Floyd Wayne Kanuch v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-3839

Rick James Berger v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-4358

Mark Mayer v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-4366
Resa King, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-4502

George Konrad v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-4699

Steven Purser, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-4783

## District of Minnesota (Continued)

Barbara Goldman, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-4784

James Holmes, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-4785

Florene D. Saracco v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-4827

Greg Stutz v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-4828
Thomas M. Celorie v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-4868

Maryann J. Deleo, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-4869

William Chamberlain, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-4870

Ronald P. Markel, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-4871

Hilarie Pearce v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-4944
Linda Michels, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.,
C.A. No. 0:06-4945

David Emery, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-4946

Richard Scott Brown v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-4947

William Gage, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-4948

Carolyn Paulette Shows, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-4949

Michele C. Glancy, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-5123

Tza Ping Aliya Lee, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:07-39

## Western District of Missouri

Wayne Jackson, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:06-969

Western District of Washington
Matthew Andresen, et al. v. Pfizer Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-1413

## MDL-1837 -- In re BMW Subframe Marketing and Sales Practices Litigation

Motion of plaintiff Scott Halperin for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey:

Central District of California
Eric Bacca, et al. v. BMW of North America, LLC, C.A. No. 2:06-6753
Middle District of Florida

Frances Perrone v. BMW of North America, LLC, C.A. No. 8:06-2045
Southern District of Mississippi
Jaime Moore v. BMW of North America, LLC, C.A. No. 4:06-139

## District of New Jersey

Lyndsay Alpert, et al. v. BMW of North America, LLC, C.A. No. 2:06-5198

## MDL-1838 -- In re TJX Companies, Inc., Customer Data Security Breach Litigation

Motion of plaintiffs Julie Buckley, et al., for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts:

Northern District of Alabama
Jo Wood, et al. v. TJX, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-147

## District of Massachusetts

Paula G. Mace v. TJX Companies, Inc., C.A. No. 1:07-10162
Amerifirst Bank v. TJX Companies, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:07-10169
Julie Buckley, et al. v. TJX Companies, Inc., C.A. No. 1:07-10209
Thomas J. Gaydos v. TJX Companies, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:07-10217

## District of Puerto Rico

Patricia Miranda, et al. v. TJX, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-1075

## MDL-1839 -- In re Pro Tem Partners, Inc., and Semico Research Corp. Contract Litigation

Motion of Pro Tem Partners, Inc., for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts:

District of Arizona
Semico Research Corp. v. Jan-Charles Fine, et al., C.A. No. 2:06-2475
District of Massachusetts
Pro Tem Partners, Inc. v. Semico Research Corp., C.A. No. 1:05-11822

## MDL-1840 -- In re Motor Fuel Temperature Sales Practices Litigation

Motion of defendants Exxon Mobil Corp.; Hess Corp.; and Motiva Enterprises LLC for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey:

## Northern District of California

Mark Rushing, et al. v. Alon USA, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:06-7621

## District of Kansas

Zachary Wilson, et al. v. Ampride, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-2582
American Fiber \& Cabling LLC., et al. v. BP Corp. North America, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-2053

Western District of Kentucky
Keen Exploration, LLC, et al. v. Amoco Oil Co., et al., C.A. No. 5:07-14

## Western District of Missouri

Victor VanDyne v. Murphy Oil USA, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-4302
Ditzfeld Transfer, Inc. v. Pilot Travel Centers, LLC., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-4025
James Vanderbilt v. BP Corp. North America, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:06-1052
Brent Donaldson, et al. v. BP Corp. North America, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:07-93
District of New Jersey
Richard Galauski, et al. v. Amerada Hess Corp., et al., C.A. No. 3:06-6005

## Western District of Oklahoma

Craig Massey, et al. v. BP Corp. North America, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 5:07-102 Cynthia J. Cary, et al. v. BP Corp. North America, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 5:07-155

Western District of Tennessee
Diane Foster, et al. v. BP North America Petroleum, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-2059

## MDL-1841 -- In re Wells Fargo Loan Processor Overtime Pay Litigation

Motion of defendant Wells Fargo Home Mortgage for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the District of Kansas:

Northern District of California

Mary Basore, et al. v. Wells Fargo Home Mortgage, et al., C.A. No. 3:07-461

## District of Kansas

Trudy Bowne, et al. v. Wells Fargo Home Mortgage, C.A. No. 2:06-2020

## MDL-1842 -- In re Kugel Mesh Hernia Patch Products Liabitity Litigation

Motion of plaintiffs Sonia Montiel, et al., for centralization of certain of the following actions in the United States District Court for the District of Rhode Island and motion of plaintiffs Lilyan Kathleen Hall, et al., for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Alabama:

## Northern District of Alabama

Lilyan Kathleen Hall, et al. v. Davol, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-480

## Eastern District of Arkansas

Carolene Jean Carter v. Davol, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:06-1012

Western District of Arkansas
Mary Jane Campbell v. Davol, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 5:06-5154

Eastern District of California
Daniel Poston, et al. v. Davol, Inc., C.A. No. 2:07-32

Northern District of Florida
Jane R. Wilson v. Davol, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:06-541

## Central District of Illinois

James Daniel Mathien v. Davol, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-2031

## Eastern District of Missouri

Timothy J. Edgar v. Davol, Inc., C.A. No. 4:06-1471
District of New Jersey
Jenine Von Essen v. C.R. Bard, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-4786
Eastern District of New York
Thomas D. Hyland, et al. v. Davol, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-1054
Southern District of New York
Sophia Katechis, et al. v. Davol, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:07-2098
Northern District of Ohio
Richard H. Sayler, et al. v. Davol, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:07-765
District of Rhode Island
Sonia Montiel, et al. v. Davol, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:07-64
Middle District of Tennessee
George Andrew Luffman v. C.R. Bard, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-243

## MDL-1843 -- In re Schering Marketing and Sales Practices Litigation

Motion of plaintiff United American Insurance Company for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania:

## District of New Jersey

International Brotherhood of Teamsters Local No. 331 Health \& Welfare Trust Fund v. Schering-Plough Corp., C.A. No. 2:06-5774

## Eastern District of Pennsylvania

Blue Cross \& Blue Shield of Alabama v. Schering-Plough Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-568

United American Insurance Co. v. Schering-Plough Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-702

## MDL-1844 -- In re Air Crash Near Peixoto de Azeveda, Brazil, on September 29, 2006

Motion of plaintiff Zita Swensson de Mattos for centralization of the following actions in a single United States district court:

## Central District of California

Maria Jose Miranda Bermudes Abreu, et al. v. ExcelAire Service, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-1296

## Middle District of Florida

Suely de Castro Alves Miranda, etc. v. Joseph Lepore, et al., C.A. No. 6:07-283

## Eastern District of Missouri

Bianca Pi Hancock, etc. v. ExcelAire Services, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:07-372

## Eastern District of New York

Patricia Abrahim Barbosa Garcia, et al. v. ExcelAire Service, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:06-5964

Mario De Abreu Lleras, et al. v. ExcelAire Service, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-6083

Zita Swensson de Mattos, etc. v. ExcelAire Services, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:07-948

## MDL-1845 -- In re ConAgra Peanut Butter Products Liability Litigation

Motion of plaintiffs Grady Ware, et al., for centralization of certain of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia; motion of plaintiffs Thomas B. Price, Annie Blackwell, and Jamie S. Jeffords for centralization of certain of the following actions in the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina; and motion of plaintiff Pamela Gateley, etc., for centralization of certain of the following actions in a single United States district court:

Middle District of Florida
Marion Caldarera v. ConAgra Foods, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 8:07-384

Southern District of Florida
Charles Stafford v. ConAgra Foods, Inc., C.A. No. 9:07-80178
Middle District of Georgia
Karen Klepsig, et al. v. ConAgra Foods, Inc., C.A. No. 1:07-37
Geoffrey Midler v. ConAgra Foods, Inc., C.A. No. 1:07-42
Northern District of Georgia
Anne Cease, et al. v. ConAgra Foods, Inc., C.A. No. 1:07-425
John Harper v. ConAgra Foods, Inc., C.A. No. 1:07-538
Grady Ware, et al. v. ConAgra Foods, Inc., C.A. No. 4:07-40
Northern District of Mississippi
Pamela Gateley, etc. v. ConAgra Foods, Inc., C.A. No. 2:07-35

## Western District of Missouri

Brian Cox, et al. v. ConAgra Foods, Inc., C.A. No. 5:07-6027
Western District of New York
Mark Avalone, et al. v. ConAgra Foods, Inc., C.A. No. 6:07-6084
Eastern District of Oklahoma
Irene Clandord v. ConAgra Foods, Inc., C.A. No. 6:07-56
Eastern District of Pennsylvania
Lucille A. Knight v. ConAgra Foods, Inc., C.A. No. 2:07-818
Middle District of Pennsylvania
Kathleen Nieves, et al. v. ConAgra Foods, Inc., C.A. No. 3:07-327

## District of South Carolina

Annie Blackwell v. ConAgra Foods, Inc., C.A. No. 0:07-529
Thomas B. Price v. ConAgra Foods, Inc., C.A. No. 3:07-536
Jerry Shawn Medford v. ConAgra Foods, Inc., C.A. No. 3:07-611
Jamie S. Jeffords v. ConAgra Foods, Inc., C.A. No. 4:07-530
Jennifer Ann Hoey, et al. v. ConAgra Foods, Inc., C.A. No. 7:07-544
Eastern District of Tennessee
Cynthia Woodlee, et al. v. ConAgra Foods, Inc., C.A. No. 4:07-15
Western District of Washington
James Winston Daniels, II, et al. v. ConAgra, Inc., C.A. No. 2:07-259

## MDL-1846 -- In re Trade Partners, Inc., Investors Litigation

Motion of defendants Macatawa Bank Corp. and Macatawa Bank for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Western District of Michigan or, in the alternative, the United States District Court for the Western District of Oklahoma:

## Central District of California

James Lee, et al. v. Macatawa Bank Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-8009

## Western District of Michigan

Forrest W. Jenkins, et al. v. Macatawa Bank Corp., et al., C.A. No. 1:03-321

## Western District of Oklahoma

Steven M. Adamson, et al. v. Macatawa Bank Corp., et al., C.A. No. 5:06-1267
Eddie Elkins, et al. v. Macatawa Bank Corp., C.A. No. 5:07-109
Northern District of Texas
Frank V. Bailey, et al. v. Macatawa Bank Corp., C.A. No. 3:06-2193

## MDL-1847 -- In re Tayssoun Transportation, Inc., and Dallas \& Mavis Specialized Carrier Co., LLC, Contract Litigation

Motion of plaintiff Tayssoun Transportation, Inc., for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas:

Northern District of Texas
Dallas \& Mavis Specialized Carrier Co., LLC v. Pacific Motor Transport Co., et al., C.A. No. 3:06-1922

Southern District of Texas

Tayssoun Transportation, Inc. v. Dallas \& Mavis Specialized Carrier Co., LLC, C.A. No. 4:06-3463

## MDL-1848 -- In re Rembrandt Technologies, LP, Patent Litigation

Motion of defendant CoxCom, Inc., for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware:

## District of Delaware

Rembrandt Technologies, LP v. Cablevision Systems Corp., et al., C.A. No. 1:06-635
Coxcom, Inc. v. Rembrandt Technologies, LP, C.A. No. 1:06-721
Rembrandt Technologies, LP v. CBS Corp., C.A. No. 1:06-727
Rembrandt Technologies, LP v. NBC Universal, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-729
Rembrandt Technologies, LP v. ABC, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-730
Rembrandt Technologies, LP v. Fox Entertainment Group, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:06-731

## Southern District of New York

Rembrandt Technologies, LP v. Adelphia Communications Corp., et al.,
Bky. Advy. No. 1:06-1739
Rembrandt Technologies, LP v. Adelphia Communications Corp., C.A. No. 1:07-214

## Eastern District of Texas

Rembrandt Technologies, LP v. Comcast Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:05-443
Rembrandt Technologies, LP v. Sharp Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-47
Rembrandt Technologies, LP v. Charter Communications, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-223
Rembrandt Technologies, LP v. Time Warner Cable, Inc., C.A. No. 2:06-224
Rembrandt Technologies, LP v. Time Warner Cable, Inc., C.A. No. 2:06-369
Rembrandt Technologies, LP v. Comcast Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-506
Rembrandt Technologies, LP v. Charter Communications, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-507

## MDL-1849 -- In re C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., Overtime Pay Litigation

Motion of plaintiffs for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois or, in the alternative, the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota:

## Northern District of Alabama

Donna Eddy, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 2:06-4926

## Central District of California

Mimi Vuong v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 2:07-1428
Nancy Austin, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 8:07-301
Eastern District of California
Gladys Garcia, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:07-157
Northern District of California
Kimberly Elam, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 4:07-475

## Northern District of Georgia

Terri Kuvach, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:07-328

## Northern District of Illinois

Evelyn Sparks, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6461
Cheryl D. Braithwaite, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6462
Lynn A. Amorose, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6463
Angela L. Jacobson v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6465
Scott D. Hyder, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6503
Anne K. Ciaglia, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6507
Joann M. McGill, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6510
Richard Cahn, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6512
Jason K. Bergquist, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6515
Craig Bowen, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6516
Christopher L. Sims, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6520
Shannon D. Anderson, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6527
Nora Hageman, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6530
Brenda Mitchell, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6538
Lynette DiNova, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6545
Joanna Elke, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6552

## Northern District of Illinois (Continued)

Roy Rogenic, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6553 LaToya R. McCants, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6556 Michael Blackburn v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6563 Sharon K. Dodson-McDonald, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6564

Patricia A. Parrish, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6568 Brendan M. Clarke, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6581 Amber Vandersommen, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6582
Leslie Nemelka, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6623
Kari S. Johnson, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6629
Ariel B. Crotty, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6630
Wendy L. Ferger, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6632
Laura J. Jeneault, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6634
Heidi Michelle Poepsel v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6664

## Southern District of Indiana

Catherine A. Wilcox v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 3:06-197

## District of Kansas

Kimberly K. Bethel, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 2:07-2129
Lisa M. Quigley, et al v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 6:07-1063

## Eastern District of Louisiana

Morgan J. Wood, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 2:07-1269
Eastern District of Michigan
Carla M. Strugala v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 5:07-10767

## District of Minnesota

Jamie L. Benner, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 0:06-4640
Justin Accola, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 0:06-4643
Jeffrey Cichosz, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 0:06-4644
William F. Holmberg, Jr. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 0:06-4645
Elizabeth Marianne Buck, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 0:06-4646
Penny M. Cantazaro, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 0:06-4647
Kathy Cota, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 0:06-4648
Jill K. East, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 0:06-4649
Kathleen L. Hambleton, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 0:06-4650
Elizabeth Hopp v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 0:06-4651
Joann F. Larson v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 0:06-4652
Kelley S. Lyons, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 0:06-4653
Kimberly M. Martineau, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 0:06-4654
Sandra Steinmetz, et al. v.C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 0:06-4655
John Gino Giovannoni v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 0:06-4960
Sally J. Dowden, et al., C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 0:07-89
Amelia M. Alfaro, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 0:07-90
Jennifer L. Alfano, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 0:07-251
Claudia Alicia Martinez, et al. v.C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 0:07-542
Jennifer N. Mcinnis v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 0:07-544
Beth Shaw, et al v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 0:07-595
Shannon Davis v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 0:07-1119
Esther Nevarez, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 0:07-1563
Eastern District of Missouri
Heather Lee Markle, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 4:07-428
Northern District of Ohio
Julie Gallagher, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 5:07-846

## Western District of Oklahoma

Timothy J. Bumgarner, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 5:07-278
District of Oregon
Allison M. Cassie, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 3:07-333
District of South Carolina
Rodney Brewer, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 3:06-3595

## District of South Dakota

Angela K. Smoot v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 4:07-4038
Eastern District of Tennessee
Carolyn Baker Hall, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 3:07-46
Eastern District of Texas

Aaron Smith, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 4:07-1
Northern District of Texas
Stephanie Smith, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 3:07-409
Western District of Texas
Adelita Dickson, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 5:07-186

Eastern District of Wisconsin
Kelly K. Hoell v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 2:07-267

## MDL-1850 -- In re Pet Food Products Liability Litigation

Motion of plaintiff Shirley Sexton for centralization of certain of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Central District of California; motion of plaintiff Christina Troiano for centralization of certain of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida; and motion of plaintiffs Tom Whaley; Stacey Heller, et al.; Audrey Kornelius, et al.; Suzanne E. Johnson, et al.; and Michele Suggett, et al., for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Western District of Washington:

## Western District of Arkansas

Charles Ray Sims, et al. v. Menu Foods Income Fund, et al., C.A. No. 5:07-5053
Richard Scott Widen, et al. v. Menu Foods, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 5:07-5055

## Central District of California

Shirley Sexton v. Menu Foods Income Fund, et al., C.A. No. 2:07-1958

## District of Connecticut

Lauri A. Osborne v. Menu Foods, Inc., C.A. No. 3:07-469

## Southern District of Florida

Christina Troiano v. Menu Foods, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:07-60428
Northern District of Illinois
Dawn Majerczyk v. Menu Foods, Inc., C.A. No. 1:07-1543

## District of New Jersey

Jared Workman, et al. v. Menu Foods Ltd., et al., C.A. No. 1:07-1338

## Eastern District of Tennessee

Lizajean Holt v. Menu Foods, Inc., C.A. No. 3:07-94

## Western District of Washington

Tom Whaley v. Menu Foods, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-411
Stacey Heller, et al. v. Menu Foods, C.A. No. 2:07-453
Audrey Kornelius, et al. v. Menu Foods, C.A. No. 2:07-454
Suzanne E. Johnson, et al. v. Menu Foods, C.A. No. 2:07-455
Michele Suggett, et al. v. Menu Foods, et al., C.A. No. 2:07-457

## SECTION B MATTERS DESIGNATED FOR CONSIDERATION WITHOUT ORAL ARGUMENT

MDL-875 -- In re Asbestos Products Liability Litigation (No. VI)
Oppositions of plaintiffs and defendant Rowan Companies, Inc., to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania:

## Western District of Arkansas

Eddie Joe Wooten, et al. v. CertainTeed Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-2004
Northern District of California
Carla Groce, et al. v. Todd Shipyards Corp., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-241
Geraldine Burton, et al. v. A.W. Chesterton Co., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-702
Southern District of Illinois
Jack Franklin v. CSX Transportation, Inc., C.A. No. 3:06-1058
Eastern District of Louisiana
Melvin Raymond v. Borden, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-11140
Middle District of Louisiana
Teressa Bell, etc. v. Rowan Companies, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:06-785
Aurelie Breau Waguespack, et al. v. Anco Insulations, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:06-965
Western District of Louisiana
Daniel Jarrell v. Franks Petroleum, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 6:06-2190

```
MDL-875 (Continued)
```


## District of Maryland

Linda Hudson, et al. v. Rapid-American Corp., et al., C.A. No. 1:06-3319
Southern District of New York
Talbot P. Frawley, et al. v. General Electric Co., et al., C.A. No. 1:06-15395

## MDL-1335 -- In re Tyco International, Ltd., Securities, Derivative \& "ERISA" Litigation

Opposition of plaintiffs Scott Davis, et al., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the District of New Hampshire:

## Northern District of Illinois

Scott Davis, et al. v. Dennis Kozlowski, et al., C.A. No. 1:07-227

MDL-1373 -- In re Bridgestone/Firestone, Inc., Tires Products Liability Litigation
Opposition of plaintiff Leonie Moise, etc., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Indiana:

## Middle District of Florida

Leonie Moise, etc. v. Bridgestone/Firestone North American Tire, LLC, C.A. No. 2:06-675

Southern District of Indiana
Susan Janssen, etc. v. Bridgestone/Firestone North American Tire, LLC, et al., C.A. No. 1:04-5811 (W.D. Arkansas, C.A. No. 2:04-2164)

## MDL-1409 -- In re Currency Conversion Fee Antitrust Litigation

Opposition of plaintiff Kyle Sandera to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York:

Northern District of California
Kyle Sandera v. Bank of America Corp., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-34

MDL-1456 -- In re Pharmaceutical Industry Average Wholesale Price Litigation
Opposition of plaintiff The State of Idaho to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts:

## District of Idaho

State of Idaho v. Abbott Laboratories, C.A. No. 1:07-93

## MDL-1566 -- In re Western States Wholesale Natural Gas Antitrust Litigation

Motion of defendant Reliant Energy Services, Inc., to transfer the following action to the United States District Court for the District of Nevada:

## Western District of Wisconsin

Arandell Corp., et al. v. Xcel Energy, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-76

## MDL-1596 -- In re Zyprexa Products Liability Litigation

Motions of defendant Eli Lilly \& Company to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York:

District of South Carolina
Samuel Davis v. Eli Lilly \& Co., C.A. No. 3:06-2312
Kimberly J. Johnson, et al. v. Ricardo Jose Fermo, M.D., et al., C.A. No. 4:06-2994

## MDL-1604 -- In re Ocwen Federal Bank FSB Mortgage Servicing Litigation

Oppositions of plaintiffs Mary Brown; Linda Fleshman; David Waters, Sr., et al.; Donald Moden, et al.; Annette Miranda; and Stanley C. Beardslee, et al., to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois:

## Eastern District of Texas

Mary Brown v. Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC, et al., C.A. No. 1:07-92
Northern District of Texas
Linda Fleshman v. Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC, et al., C.A. No. 4:07-108
Western District of Texas
David Waters, Sr., et al. v. Ocwen Financial Corp., et al., C.A. No. 1:07-61
Donald Moden, et al. v. Ocwen Financial Corp., et al., C.A. No. 1:07-62

## Western District of Texas (Continued)

Annette Miranda v. Ocwen Financial Corp., et al., C.A. No. 5:07-34
Stanley C. Beardslee, et al. v. Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC, et al., C.A. No. 5:07-137

## MDL-1626 -- In re Accutane Products Liability Litigation

Opposition of defendant Hoffman-La Roche, Inc., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida:

## Eastern District of Pennsylvania

Darrell W. Borum, Jr. v. Hoffmann-La Roche, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-94

## MDL-1657 -- In re Vioxx Marketing, Sales Practices and Products Liability Litigation

Oppositions of plaintiffs to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana:

Central District of California
Ruby Lois Moore Estate, etc. v. Merck \& Co., Inc., C.A. No. 2:06-7548

## Eastern District of California

Mosetta Bernstine v. Merck \& Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-34
Katherine Harrison v. Merck \& Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-42
James Daniels, Jr. v. Merck \& Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-48
Barbara Ford-Daniels v. Merck \& Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-51
Lynn Franklin v. Merck \& Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-57
Irma Franklin v. Merck \& Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-58
Carolyn Lee Wilson v. Merck \& Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-61
Mary Ann Harris v. Merck \& Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-67
John Wilson v. Merck \& Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-68

## Eastern District of California (Continued)

Ishmael Haqq v. Merck \& Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-73
David Tenn, etc. v. Merck \& Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-75
Estate of Juanita Battle v. Merck \& Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-77
Denise Denison v. Merck \& Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-79

## Northern District of California

Dorothy Shanks v. Merck \& Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-65
Stanford Johnson v. Merck \& Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-67
Estate of Robert Badke, et al. v. Merck \& Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-69
Fred Hardin v. Merck \& Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-75
Jeffrey Nielsen v. Merck \& Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-76
Juliana Nielsen v. Merck \& Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-77
Jacquelyn Johnson v. Merck \& Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-78
Arthur Shanks v. Merck \& Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:07-68
Earnestine Hardin v. Merck \& Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:07-70
Shahla Jaferian v. Merck \& Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 5:07-66
Estate of Sandra Ellis v. Merck \& Co., Inc., C.A. No. 5:07-74
Nader Jaferian v. Merck \& Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 5:07-79
Randolph Dossett v. Merck \& Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 5:07-80
Suzanne Dante v. Merck \& Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 5:07-81

## Southern District of California

Genevieve Tadman v. Merck \& Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:06-2151
Del Rorer v. Merck \& Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-10
Carol Krepp v. Merck \& Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-11
Charles Krepp v. Merck \& Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-12
Teresa Rorer v. Merck \& Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-14
Arlene Purvis v. Merck \& Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-15

## Northern District of West Virginia

Helen Jean Anderson, etc. v. Merck \& Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 5:06-151

## Southern District of West Virginia

Paul Noe, et al. v. Merck \& Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-1004
Leota Faye Dickens v. Merck \& Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-1005
Madonna Armentrout, etc. v. Rite Aid of West Virginia, Inc., C.A. No. 3:06-1058

MDL-1657 -- In re Vioxx Marketing, Sales Practices and Products Liability Litigation MDL-1699 -- In re Bextra and Celebrex Marketing, Sales Practices and Products Liability Litigation

Oppositions of plaintiffs to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana in MDL-1657 and to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California in MDL-1699:

## Northern District of Alabama

William D. McCluskey, etc. v. Merck \& Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-232

## Eastern District of California

Carol Ann Davies, et al. v. Merck \& Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-2768
Lois Hornsby, et al. v. Merck \& Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-2776
Bertha Townsend, et al. v. Merck \& Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-2780
Arthur Bluett, et al. v. Merck \& Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-2787
Lisa Mathews, et al. v. Merck \& Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-2807
Geneva Styles, et al. v. Merck \& Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-2812
Ali Muhilddine, et al. v. Merck \& Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-2814
Elizabeth A. Cochran v. Merck \& Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-2817
Kevin L. Chaney v. Merck \& Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-2826
Wendel Vantine, etc. v. Merck \& Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-2851
Barry Dohner, etc. v. Merck \& Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-2853
Jerry Strange v. Merck \& Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-2875
Southern District of Illinois
Robert J. Smith, Jr., etc. v. Merck \& Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:06-964
Wilburn Williamson, etc. v. Merck \& Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:06-1023

MDL-1700 -- In re FedEx Ground Package System, Inc., Employment Practices Litigation (No. II)

Opposition of plaintiff Carlos Quintin Gonzalez to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Indiana:

## Southern District of Florida

Carlos Quintin Gonzalez v. FedEx Home Delivery, et al., C.A. No. 1:06-22964

MDL-1708 -- In re Guidant Corp. Implantable Defibrillators Products Liability Litigation
Oppositions of plaintiffs Mary Alice Miller, etc., and Estefana Silva, et al., to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota:

Middle District of Florida

Mary Alice Miller, etc. v. Guidant Corp., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-41
Southern District of Texas

Estefana Silva, et al. v. Guidant Corp., et al., C.A. No. 7:07-2

## MDL-1715 -- In re Ameriquest Mortgage Co. Mortgage Lending Practices Litigation

Oppositions of plaintiffs Rodney S. Means and David L. Murphy, et al., and defendants Dream House Mortgage Corp., Northwest Title \& Escrow Corp., and Litton Loan Servicing LP to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois:

## Northern District of Indiana

Rodney S. Means v. Ameriquest Mortgage Co., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-409

## District of Massachusetts

Kelly Ann I. Graham, et al. v. Ameriquest Mortgage Co., et al., C.A. No. 4:06-40195

## Eastern District of Missouri

Paul W. Derda, et al. v. Ameriquest Mortgage Co., et al., C.A. No. 4:06-1649
Eastern District of Texas
David L. Murphy, et al. v. Argent Mortgage Co., LLC, C.A. No. 1:06-781

## MDL-1718 -- In re Ford Motor Co. Speed Control Deactivation Switch Products Liability Litigation

Oppositions of plaintiffs Florida Farm Bureau Casualty Insurance Company, etc.; David Giorgini, et al.; and Oscar Reyes, et al., to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan:

## Middle District of Florida

Florida Farm Bureau Casualty Insurance Co., etc. v. Ford Motor Co., Inc., C.A. No. 8:06-2307

## Eastern District of Pennsylvania

David Giorgini, et al. v. Ford Motor Co., C.A. No. 2:06-968
Southern District of Texas
Oscar Reyes, et al. v. Ford Motor Co., et al., C.A. No. 4:07-165

Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session, Section B
Las Vegas, Nevada

MDL-1726 -- In re Medtronic, Inc., Implantable Defibrillators Products Liability Litigation
Oppositions of plaintiff Patricia Kavalir and defendant Medtronic, Inc., to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota:

Middle District of Florida
Hazel E. Ricciotti, etc. v. Medtronic, Inc., C.A. No. 8:07-233
Northern District of Georgia
Claudeena Watt-Austin, et al. v. Boston Scientific Corp., et al., C.A. No. 1:07-293
Northern District of Illinois

Patricia Kavalir v. Medtronic, Inc., C.A. No. 1:07-835

## MDL-1742 -- In re Ortho Evra Products Liability Litigation

Opposition of plaintiffs Jacci Morrison, et al., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio:

Northern District of California
Jacci Morrison, et al. v. Ortho-McNeil Corp., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-8

## MDL-1760 -- In re Aredia and Zometa Products Liability Litigation

Oppositions of plaintiffs Joan LeCompte, et al., and Beatrice Rios to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Middle District of Tennessee:

## District of New Jersey

Joan LeCompte, et al. v. Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corp., C.A. No. 2:07-357
Southern District of Texas
Beatrice Rios v. Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corp., et al., C.A. No. 6:07-2

## MDL-1763 -- In re Human Tissue Products Liability Litigation

Opposition of plaintiff Sherryl Jean Thornton to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey:

Northern District of Alabama
Sherryl Jean Thornton v. Michael Mastromarino, et al., C.A. No. 1:06-4899

## MDL-1781 -- In re Cintas Corp. Overtime Pay Arbitration Litigation

Opposition of respondents in all actions to remand, under 28 U.S.C. § 1407(a), of the following actions to their respective transferor courts:

## Northern District of California

Cintas Corp. v. Randall M. Cornelius, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5078 (M.D. Alabama, C.A. No. 2:06-227)

Cintas Corp. v. Darren Mitchell Anderson, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5079 (N.D. Alabama, C.A. No. 2:06-492)

Cintas Corp. v. Ramon J. Baudier, Jr., et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5080 (S.D. Alabama, C.A. No. 1:06-148)

Cintas Corp. v. Robert J. Abel, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5081 (D. Arizona, C.A. No. 2:06-693)

Cintas Corp. v. Roberto Carlos Alegria, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5082 (C.D. California, C.A. No. 2:06-1750)

Cintas Corp. v. Ronald Arvizu, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5083 (E.D. California, C.A. No. 2:06-611)

Cintas Corp. v. Daniel E. Ainsworth, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5084 (S.D. California, C.A. No. 3:06-632)

Cintas Corp. v. John D. Bickham, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5085 (D. Colorado, C.A. No. 1:06-427)

Cintas Corp. v. Eugene Christensen, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5086 (D. Connecticut, C.A. No. 3:06-360)

Cintas Corp. v. Charles Leroy Gray, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5087 (D. Delaware, C.A. No. 1:06-162)

Cintas Corp. v. Alice Allen, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5088 (M.D. Florida, C.A. No. 8:06-400)
Cintas Corp. v. Joseph Frazier, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5089 (N.D. Florida,
C.A. No. 3:06-103)

Northern District of California (Continued)
Cintas Corp. v. David J. Abrahamsen, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5090 (S.D. Florida, C.A. No. 0:06-60310)

Cintas Corp. v. Matthew J. DeFelix, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5091 (M.D. Georgia, C.A. No. 1:06-38)

Cintas Corp. v. Jeffrey Aybar, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5092 (N.D. Georgia, C.A. No. 1:06-569)

Cintas Corp. v. Joe L. Banks, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5093 (S.D. Georgia, C.A. No. 1:06-35)

Cintas Corp. v. David DeBilzan, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5094 (D. Idaho, C.A. No. 1:06-104)

Cintas Corp. v. James Allen Burress, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5095 (C.D. Illinois, C.A. No. 1:06-1068)

Cintas Corp. v. Vince Agozzino, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5096 (N.D. Illinois, C.A. No. 1:06-1343)

Cintas Corp. v. James Atkins, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5097 (N.D. Indiana, C.A. No. 2:06-85)
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## MDL-1789 -- In re Fosamax Products Liability Litigation

Opposition of plaintiff Mary Ellen Potgieter to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York:

## District of New Jersey

Mary Ellen Potgieter v. Merck \& Co., Inc., C.A. No. 2:07-2

## PROCEDURES FOR ORAL ARGUMENT BEFORE THE JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

All oral argument is governed by the provisions of Rule 16.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation (effective April 2, 2001). Rule 16.1(g) allows a maximum of twenty minutes for oral argument in each matter. In most cases, however, less time is necessary for the expression of all views and the Panel reserves the prerogative of reducing the time requested by counsel. Accordingly, counsel should be careful not to overstate the time requested for oral argument.

The Panel insists that counsel limit all oral argument to the appropriate criteria. See generally In re "East of the Rockies" Concrete Pipe Antitrust Cases, 302 F. Supp. 244, 255-56 (J.P.M.L. 1969) (concurring opinion) (discussion concerning criteria for transfer).

Rule 16.1 is duplicated in its entirety hereafter for your convenience.

## RULE 16.1: HEARING SESSIONS AND ORAL ARGUMENT

(a) Hearing sessions of the Panel for the presentation of oral argument and consideration of matters taken under submission without oral argument shall be held as ordered by the Panel. The Panel shall convene whenever and wherever desirable or necessary in the judgment of the Chairman. The Chairman shall determine which matters shall be considered at each hearing session and the Clerk of the Panel shall give notice to counsel for all parties involved in the litigation to be so considered of the time, place and subject matter of such hearing session.
(b) Each party filing a motion or a response to a motion or order of the Panel under Rules 7.2, 7.3, 7.4 or 7.6 of these Rules may file simultaneously therewith a separate statement limited to one page setting forth reasons why oral argument should, or need not, be heard. Such statements shall be captioned "Reasons Why Oral Argument Should [Need Not] Be Heard," and shall be filed and served in conformity with Rules 5.12 and 5.2 of these Rules.
(c) No transfer or remand determination regarding any action pending in the district court shall be made by the Panel when any party timely opposes such transfer or remand unless a hearing session has been held for the presentation of oral argument except that the Panel may dispense with oral argument if it determines that:
(i) the dispositive issue(s) have been authoritatively decided; or
(ii) the facts and legal arguments are adequately presented in the briefs and record, and the decisional process would not be significantly aided by oral argument. Unless otherwise ordered by the Panel, all other matters before the Panel, such as a motion for reconsideration, shall be considered and determined upon the basis of the papers filed.
(d) In those matters in which oral argument is not scheduled by the Panel, counsel shall be promptly advised. If oral argument is scheduled in a matter the Clerk of the Panel may require counsel for all parties who wish to make or to waive oral argument to file and serve notice to that effect within a stated time in conformity with Rules 5.12 and 5.2 of these Rules. Failure to do so shall be deemed a waiver of oral argument by that party. If oral argument is scheduled but not attended by a party, the matter shall not be rescheduled and that party's position shall be treated as submitted for decision by the Panel on the basis of the papers filed.
(e) Except for leave of the Panel on a showing of good cause, only those parties to actions scheduled for oral argument who have filed a motion or written response to a motion or order shall be permitted to appear before the Panel and present oral argument.
(f) Counsel for those supporting transfer or remand under Section 1407 and counsel for those opposing such transfer or remand are to confer separately prior to the oral argument for the purpose of organizing their arguments and selecting representatives to present all views without duplication.
(g) Unless otherwise ordered by the Panel, a maximum of twenty minutes shall be allotted for oral argument in each matter. The time shall be divided equally among those with varying viewpoints. Counsel for the moving party or parties shall generally be heard first.
(h) So far as practicable and consistent with the purposes of Section 1407, the offering of oral testimony before the Panel shall be avoided. Accordingly, oral testimony shall not be received except upon notice, motion and order of the Panel expressly providing for it.
(i) After an action or group of actions has been set for a hearing session, consideration of such action(s) may be continued only by order of the Panel on good cause shown.

