IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

MARY E. GLOVER,) individually and on behalf of other similarly situated former and current homeowners in Pennsylvania, CIVIL NO. 08-990) Plaintiffs,) v. MARK J. UDREN, UDREN LAW OFFICES, P.C., WELLS FARGO HOME MORTGAGE, GOLDMAN SACHS MORTGAGE COMPANY Defendants.

ORDER

AND NOW, this Aday of March, 2011, after the plaintiff filed an action in the above-captioned case, and after a motion to dismiss was submitted by defendant Goldman Sachs Mortgage Company, and after a Report and Recommendation was filed by the United States Magistrate Judge granting the parties fourteen days after being served with a copy to file written objections thereto, and upon consideration of the objections filed by plaintiff and the response to those objections filed by defendant, and upon independent review of the motion and the record, and upon consideration of the Magistrate Judge's Report

and Recommendation (Docket No. 202), which is adopted as the opinion of this Court,

IT IS ORDERED that defendant's motion to dismiss (Docket No. 136) is GRANTED in part and DENIED in part.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that plaintiff's motion to convert the motion to dismiss to a motion for summary judgment (Docket No. 16%) is DENIED.

derette 2. arbrers

United States District Judge