

In light of the foregoing, the Petition is **DISMISSED as moot**. See, e.g., *Bethea v. Bickwell*, No. 13–CV–1694, 2015 WL 1608521, at *2 (M.D. Pa. April 10, 2015) (“With respect to his parole claim, *Bethea* is not challenging his conviction or sentence. Rather, he is challenging the Board's denial of parole and he seeks immediate release on parole. But since *Bethea* has been released . . . this court cannot provide him any relief on this habeas claim. So, as the respondent argues and as *Bethea* concedes, this claim is now moot. *See Razzoli v. FCI Allenwood*, 200 F. App'x 166, 169 (3d Cir. 2006) (stating that ‘[t]hrough the passage of time *Razzoli* has been released on parole, thereby obtaining the relief that he sought through habeas’ and holding that *Razzoli's* claims that his release on parole was illegally delayed are moot”).

BY THE COURT:

s/Maureen P. Kelly
MAUREEN P. KELLY
CHIEF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Date: March 10, 2017

cc: Jason Allen Blausen
KL-2230
SCI Pittsburgh
P.O. Box 99991
Pittsburgh, PA 15233

All Counsel of Record Via CM-ECF