IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

JAY BONANZA BRILEY,)
)
Petitioner,)
)
VS.)
)
ERIC HOLDER, JR., Attorney General;)
and MARK A. KIRBY, Warden,)
Federal Correctional Institute, Loretto,)
Pennsylvania,)
)
Respondents.)

Civil Action No. 3: 14-cv-0193

United States District Judge Kim R. Gibson

MEMORANDUM ORDER

On September 9, 2014, the above captioned case was initiated by the filing of a Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (ECF No. 1) and was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge for pretrial proceedings in accordance with the Magistrate Judges Act, 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), and the Local Rules of Court for Magistrate Judges.

The magistrate judge filed a Report and Recommendation on February 9, 2015 (ECF No. 13), recommending that the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus be dismissed for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. On February 23, 2015, Petitioner filed Objections to the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 14).¹ Petitioner's objections do not undermine the recommendation of the magistrate judge.

After *de novo* review of the pleadings and documents in the case, together with the Report and Recommendation, and the Objections thereto, the following order is entered:

¹ The Objections were filed on February 23, 2015, as determined by the mailbox rule for prisoner filings. *See Houston v. Lack*, 487 U.S. 266 (1988). The Objections were signed on February 23, 2015, and Petitioner submitted a Notice of Filing which reflects that he placed his objections in the prison mail room on February 23, 2015. Accordingly, the Court concludes that the objections were filed on the date the objections were signed, the earliest date possible that they could have been delivered to prison officials for mailing.

AND NOW, this _____ day of March, 2015:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus is **DISMISSED** for lack of subject matter jurisdiction.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 13) is **ADOPTED** as the Opinion of the Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of Court mark this case CLOSED.

AND IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to Rule 4(a)(1) of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure, Petitioner has thirty (30) days to file a notice of appeal as provided by Rule 3 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.

By the Court:

Kim R. Gibson United States District Judge

cc: JAY BONANZA BRILEY 48412-083 LORETTO FEDERAL CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION Inmate Mail/Parcels P.O. BOX 1000 LORETTO, PA 15940 (via U.S. First Class Mail)

> Amie S. Murphy United States Attorneys Office (via ECF electronic notification)