

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO

3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

4 Plaintiff,

5 v.

6 ONE RURAL LOT IDENTIFIED AS  
7 FINCA #5,991, LOCATED IN BARRIO  
8 PUEBLO, HATILLO, PUERTO RICO,  
9 et al.,

10  
11 Defendants.

Civil No. 06-1960 JAF)

(Related to Crim. No. 03-361)

12 **ORDER**

13 This matter is before the district court at the request of the  
14 Court of Appeals which, on January 9, 2009, entered an interim order  
15 in appeal No. 09-1040. The Court of Appeals asked that this district  
16 court evaluate a request for the stay of an order entered by us on  
17 January 8, 2009, authorizing the disbursement of certain funds. See  
18 Docket Document No. 242, Civ. No. 06-1960 (JAF). It is precisely that  
19 disbursement order that is now on appeal in appellate docket 09-1040.

20 The order subject of the appeal reads as follows:

21 After the hearing held on January 7, 2009, the  
22 court **ORDERS** the disbursement of \$172,550.94 to  
23 Ocean International, Inc., c/o its attorney,  
24 Anita Hill, Esq. The court will hold in escrow  
25 \$50,000 of the moneys due to Ocean  
26 International, Inc. and/or Arlene Ramírez-  
27 Rodríguez for a period of thirty (30) days, with  
28 the recommendation that Banco de Desarrollo  
29 accept that amount in settlement of attorney's  
30 fees. Otherwise, said amount will be disbursed

1 to Ocean International, leaving for another day,  
2 and perhaps another forum, the final disposition  
3 of the issue of attorney's fees.

4 In order to document the final liquidation of  
5 the \$351,109.19 deposited for Ocean  
6 International/Arlene Ramirez-Rodríguez, we  
7 include a disbursement summary:

8	Total Deposit:	\$351,109.19
9	Attorney's fees paid to	
10	Anita Hill, Esq.:	115,866.03
11	Payment ordered to FirstBank:	12,692.22
12	Escrow for Attorney's Fees	
13	(Banco de Desarrollo):	<u>50,000.00</u>
14	Net Payable to Ocean Int'l, Inc.:	\$172,550.94.

15 We now have before us the request for stay of order pending  
16 appeal, as well as an opposition, Docket Document Nos. 242, 249, Civ.  
17 No. 06-1960 (JAF), and proceed to explain the reasons behind our  
18 decision to deny "stay" relief.

19 **I.**

20 Some basic background is in order. Appellant Banco de Desarrollo  
21 Económico para Puerto Rico ("the Bank") settled its forfeiture-  
22 related claims with the United States. See Docket Document No. 133,  
23 Civ. No. 06-1960 (JAF), dated April 8, 2008. The government had  
24 forfeited multiple properties related to criminal narcotics  
25 prosecutions. As a result of the forfeiture litigation, the Bank,  
26 which held a mortgage lien over certain properties, was recognized an  
27 interest accruing as a result of state court mortgage execution  
28 litigation, in the amount of \$2,208,043.35, increasing at a rate of  
29 \$394.30 daily until paid.

1 Under this first settlement agreement, the Bank agreed to wait  
 2 until the government sold the forfeited properties to collect from  
 3 the government the first \$2,067,634.59. The government refused to pay  
 4 the \$140,408.76 in attorney's fees imposed by local court judgment  
 5 against criminal defendant Aureliano Giraud and his wife Arlene  
 6 Ramírez Rodríguez.

7 The forfeited properties have been appraised at a value of over  
 8 \$6 Million, there being enough equity in the properties to cover the  
 9 Bank's interest.

10 A second settlement took place on June 4, 2008. See Docket  
 11 Document No. 161, Civ. No. 06-1960 (JAF). The criminal defendant's  
 12 wife, Arlene Ramírez Rodríguez, pro se and representing Ocean  
 13 Investments International, Inc., was allowed by the government to  
 14 keep 45% of monies also seized by the government in related criminal  
 15 case No. 03-361 (JAF). The seized monies amounted to \$780,242.65.<sup>1</sup>  
 16 The 45% payable was \$351,109.19. The specific clause in the second

---

<sup>1</sup>The detail behind the aggregate of \$780,242.65 is as follows:

CURRENCY SEIZED IN THE CRIMINAL CASE, 03-361 (JAF)

First Bank 01-20000192	\$985.00	Ocean Investments Int'l
First Bank 38-5000441	\$771.23	Northland International
First Bank 01-5016703	\$269,486.42	Ocean Investments Int'l
Manager's Check for	\$250,000.00	First Bank #182159
Manager's Check for	\$250,000.00	First Bank #182160
Currency seized from Arlene Ramírez	\$9,000.00	

The two manager's checks for \$250,000 each were purchased with Ocean Investments International, Inc. funds that were deposited with First Bank in account 01-5016703.

1 settlement agreement, Docket Document No. 161, Civ. No. 06-1960  
2 (JAF), that covers the 45% disbursement reads as follows:

3 7. As part of the criminal case, 03-361 (JAF),  
4 the United States will pay Arlene Ramírez  
5 Rodríguez forty five percent (45%) of the monies  
6 seized, including interest, from Northland  
7 International, Ocean International Investment,  
8 and Arlene Ramírez Rodríguez, will forfeit fifty  
9 five percent (55%) of said monies. Payment  
10 shall be made within seven (7) working days of  
11 the judgment by check issued to the name of  
12 Anita Hill-Adames Esq. Trust Account.

13 On January 8, 2009, we ordered the final disbursement of the  
14 45%. See Docket Document No. 242, Civ. No. 06-1960 (JAF). Not  
15 satisfied with the disbursement ordered, the Bank has appealed and  
16 now requests a stay of payment pending appeal. The Bank now objects  
17 to the stipulated disbursement under the second settlement agreement,  
18 and asks that these monies be first destined to pay the \$140,408.76  
19 in attorney's fees mentioned above.

## 20 II.

21 The Bank cannot expect to be paid the \$140,408.76 from monies we  
22 have ordered disbursed to Ocean Investments International, Inc.  
23 Ocean is not a party or a mortgage debtor in the state court mortgage  
24 foreclosure proceedings. The Bank has no perfected lien over the  
25 seized monies. It has never been recognized by the state court or by  
26 the two settlement stipulations reached in this case any legal  
27 interest in the seized monies, of which only \$9,000 were seized from  
28 one of the state court judgment debtors - Arlene Ramírez Rodríguez.

1           While it is true that Arlene Ramírez Rodríguez and her defendant  
2 husband Aureliano Giraud are liable to pay the Bank legal fees as  
3 judgment debtors in state court mortgage execution proceedings, the  
4 Bank has no right to recover payment of its legal fees from monies,  
5 the greater majority of which belong to a corporate entity (Ocean),  
6 not related to the mortgage execution. Precisely because of the  
7 Bank's lack of a recognized interest or lien over the monies object  
8 of disbursement, we tried to have the matter of the legal fees  
9 settled, in order to fully close not only this case but the issue of  
10 attorney's fees. Precisely for that reason, we reserved \$50,000 to  
11 pay for legal fees. If the \$50,000 were not acceptable as a  
12 settlement figure, the monies would be eventually disbursed to Ocean.  
13 However, absent a settlement of legal fees and absent any lien in  
14 favor of the Bank, this court cannot force Ocean Investments  
15 International, Inc. and a corporate officer, Arlene Ramírez  
16 Rodríguez, to pay the Bank from monies belonging to the corporation,  
17 Ocean Investments International, Inc.

18           This reasoning was discussed with counsel for the parties,  
19 motivating our statement in our Order at Docket Document No. 242,  
20 Civ. No. 06-1960 (JAF), that, absent a voluntary settlement of  
21 attorney's fees, we would be leaving for another day, and perhaps  
22 another forum, the final disposition of the issue of attorney's fees.

23           Lastly, we note that the Bank has been given plenty of  
24 opportunity to confront these issues. We have delayed the

1 disbursement for months. However, at this time we think that delaying  
2 such disbursement any longer is unfair under the two settlement  
3 stipulations reached openly in this case, with the Bank's knowledge,  
4 and without objection on its part. Delaying disbursement is also  
5 unfair to the rights of the government-recognized, innocent-wife  
6 status of Arlene Ramírez Rodríguez, who is about to lose her home and  
7 the home of her children for non-payment of the mortgage over that  
8 particular residential property.

9 The request for stay pending appeal is **DENIED**.

10 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

11 San Juan, Puerto Rico, this 14<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2009.

12 S/José Antonio Fusté  
13 JOSE ANTONIO FUSTE  
14 Chief U. S. District Judge