

Civil No. 99-1435 (GAG)

1 Paxil CR®. The *Goldenberg* action was filed on September 21, 2004. Both actions involved
2 allegations of defective manufacturing processes at GSK's Puerto Rico plant, such that the
3 Paxil CR® produced during the class period was not manufactured by proper manufacturing
4 processes and suffered from certain defects, including, for example, that the pills would
5 "split apart."

6 4. GSK, through demurrers, motions to dismiss and/or responsive pleadings, denied
7 all allegations of unlawful conduct, and raised numerous affirmative defenses.

8 Through extensive negotiations between counsel for the Plaintiffs in both this case and
9 the *Goldenberg* action and counsel for GSK, the parties have reached a settlement, which
10 Plaintiffs and their counsel consider be fair, reasonable, adequate and in the best interests of
11 the putative class.

12 5. Under this settlement, GSK will pay up to Twenty Eight Million Dollars (\$28
13 million) to settle class member claims ("Settlement Amount"). The Settlement Amount will
14 be allocated between two subclasses: a Third-Party Payor Class and a Consumer Class. A
15 total of Eleven Million Two Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$11.2 million), which is 40% of
16 \$28 million, will be allocated to settle the Third-Party Payor Class Claims (the "TPP Class
17 Settlement Amount"). A maximum of Sixteen Million Eight Hundred Thousand Dollars
18 (\$16.8 million), which is 60% of \$28 million, will be allocated to settle the Consumer Class
19 Claims (the "Consumer Class Settlement Amount"). The settlement monies will be
20 distributed by a Claims Administrator to each class member who submits a valid claim.

21 6. In summary, the Settlement provides a two-tier claims process for the Consumer
22 Class that allows members of the Class to recover costs for defective Paxil CR®. A claims
23 process for the Third-Party Payor Class will allow members of the TPP Class to recover costs
24 for Paxil CR® based on the number of TPP claims made and the number of covered lives
25 for each covered TPP.

26 7. The claims period is open until August 10, 2009, after which the claims
27
28

Civil No. 99-1435 (GAG)

1 administrator will perform a final accounting and all validated Consumer Class Claims and
2 validated TPP Class claims will be paid.

3 8. On March 2, 2009, Plaintiffs and GSK jointly presented the proposed
4 settlement for preliminary approval to this Court.

5 9. Following the hearing, the Court granted the joint motion and certified the
6 Consumer Class and the TPP Class. The Court also appointed class counsel.

7 10. The Court preliminarily found that the proposed settlement was fair,
8 reasonable and adequate, found that the notice plan satisfied Due Process, and ordered notice
9 to be commenced April 1, 2009. In addition, the Court allowed class members to opt out of
10 the settlement by May 15, 2009 or to object to the settlement by July 1, 2009. The Court
11 allowed class members until August 10, 2009 to file a claim.

12 11. In accordance with the Order, the parties carried out an extensive notice plan
13 to educate and inform the class of their rights under the settlement, which was commenced
14 April 1, 2009.. That plan included (a) direct notice by first class mail to potential TPP Class
15 Members; (b) broad notice through the use of paid media including national newspaper
16 supplements, national consumer magazines, television spot advertising, newspaper
17 advertising in the United States Territories and trade publications; (c) notice through a
18 national earned media campaign utilizing a press release; and (d) electronic notice through
19 a dedicated informational Internet website and keyword/term sponsorship on major search
20 engines. The Court finds this notice was the best notice practicable and did meet the
21 requirements of Due Process.

22 12. The Court further finds that the notice of the proposed settlement was
23 sufficient and furnished Class members with the information they needed to evaluate whether
24 to participate in or opt-out of the proposed settlement. The Court therefore concludes that
25 the notice of the proposed settlement met all requirements required by law, including all
26 constitutional requirements. Specifically, the notice stated in plain language: (1) a
27

Civil No. 99-1435 (GAG)

1 description of the class; (2) a description of the claims asserted in the lawsuit; (3) a
2 description of the settlement; (4) the deadline for filing a claim form; (5) the names of class
3 counsel; (6) a description of the fairness hearing; (7) a statement of the maximum amount
4 of attorneys' fees that may be sought by class counsel; (8) the deadline for filing objections
5 to the settlement; (9) a description of how to receive further information about the settlement;
6 and (10) a description of how to opt-out of the settlement.

7 13. Even though Plaintiffs enjoyed significant success during this litigation,
8 GSK's defenses were strong and a successful outcome for the plaintiffs was by no means
9 assured. The Court therefore finds that the possible risks to the Plaintiffs' claims, if the
10 claims were not settled, strongly favors final approval of the proposed settlement.

11 14. This litigation has been complex, lengthy and expensive. GSK has raised a
12 number of complex legal issues and the case has been on file for more than four years.
13 Given the scope of the pretrial activity in the case, further litigation through certification,
14 merits discovery, and trial would have been a significant burden on all parties. This factor
15 therefore weighs in favor of final approval.

16 15. The Court finds that the amount of opposition to the settlement is de minimis
17 and that the overall Class' reaction is overwhelmingly favorable. Further, the court notes
18 that the TPP Class consists of entities that are sophisticated and thus, to the extent TPP Class
19 members have not made objections, it can be presumed that they support the settlement.
20 These factors weigh heavily in favor of final approval.

21 16. The settlement is fair, reasonable and adequate in the opinion of Counsel in
22 this matter as well as others. This factor weighs in favor of final approval.

23 17. The parties engaged in extensive and thorough discovery in the matter and
24 were thus well informed as to the strengths and weaknesses of their positions. This factor
25 weighs in favor of final approval.

26 18. The Court finds that the settlement will benefit participating class members;
27
28

Civil No. 99-1435 (GAG)

1 accordingly, class members who have not opted out of the settlement, based on the initial
2 notice, shall remain as class members and are bound by the terms of the settlement.

3 19. There were minimal objections to the terms of the settlement, all filed by
4 consumers or alleged representatives of consumers. The Court has considered these
5 objections and finds they are unfounded, result from misunderstanding of the terms of the
6 settlement, and lack merit to overcome the presumption of reasonableness that was the result
7 of arms-length negotiations between the parties, coupled with the overwhelming, nearly
8 unanimous support for the settlement demonstrated by the absence of any other objections,
9 and the small number of exclusion requests.

10 20. The Court having reviewed and considered the Settlement and all documents,
11 evidence and arguments of all counsel; the Court being fully advised in the premises and
12 good cause appearing therefore, the Court finds that the Settlement reached is the result of
13 arm's length negotiations, the Settlement is fair, reasonable, adequate and in the best interests
14 of the Class, and the Motion for Final Approval should be **GRANTED**.

15 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:**

16 1. The Court has personal jurisdiction over the parties and has subject matter
17 jurisdiction over the Action.

18 2. All Class members who timely exercised their right to opt out as provided in
19 the Court-approved notice are identified in Exhibit A hereto.

20 3. The Court hereby determines that the Settlement is fair, reasonable and adequate
21 and in the best interests of the Class members, that there are no valid objections to the
22 Settlement, and that, accordingly, the Settlement is finally approved.

23 4. GSK shall allocate \$28,000,000.00 (the "Settlement Amount") in full, complete
24 and final settlement of the case, all Released Claims and any obligations GSK might
25 otherwise have to pay for notice to Class members, the claims of Class members
26 ("Settlement Benefit"), interest, the costs of administration of the Settlement, and the cost

Civil No. 99-1435 (GAG)

1 of suit, including attorneys' fees.

2 5. Class members who submit a claim form by December 12, 2008, with the
3 supporting data as required in the form, will be entitled to payment for their claim according
4 to the percentage formula and criteria set forth therein.

5 6. The Claims Administrator shall determine which Class members have submitted
6 the requisite proof and shall distribute the Settlement Benefit to those Class members. Each
7 Class member will receive their full Settlement Benefit in one payment.

8 7. All settlement expenses of whatever kind relating to administration and notice,
9 and all attorneys' fees and costs and incentive awards to be borne by GSK shall be paid out
10 of the Settlement Amount and not additionally by GSK. If the aggregate amount of claimed
11 benefits, exceeds the balance of the Settlement Amount after payment of fees, expenses and
12 costs, the benefits shall be reduced on a *pro rata* basis, so that in no event is the Settlement
13 Amount exceeded.

14 8. In accordance with the terms of the Settlement (and with the exception of those
15 persons who opted-out, identified in Exhibit A hereto):

16 a. The case is dismissed with prejudice.

17 b. Upon this Settlement receiving Final Approval, the Class Plaintiffs, on
18 behalf of themselves and all Class Members, and their successors, heirs and assigns,
19 and anyone acting on their behalf, including in a representative or derivative capacity
20 (collectively "Class Releasers") shall (i) release Defendants and their present and
21 former parents, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, stockholders, benefit plans, officers,
22 directors, employees, agents and any of their legal representatives, and the
23 predecessors, heirs, executors, administrators, successors and assigns of each of the
24 foregoing (collectively the "Releasees") from all claims, demands, actions, suits,
25 causes of action, liabilities of any nature whatsoever (including claims for damages,
26 costs, expenses and attorneys' fees), known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected,

Civil No. 99-1435 (GAG)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

in law or equity, relating to defective and/or adulterated Paxil CR® manufactured at the Cidra facility, that were or could have been alleged in the *Simonet* Case or the *Goldenberg* Case (“Released Claims”).

c. The foregoing release does not cover, and GSK will not assert this Release or the Settlement of claims pursuant to the Settlement Agreement as a defense to any claim for personal injury by any person which might or could have been sustained by the ingestion of Paxil CR®. A Settlement Class member may not claim or recover economic damages released by this Settlement Agreement for itself or on behalf of any of its members or insureds, either through subrogation or any other theory that would allow the Settlement Class Member to recover such damages on behalf of its members or insureds.

d. Members of the class are permanently enjoined from filing, commencing, prosecuting, intervening in, or participating as plaintiff, claimant, or class member in any other lawsuit or administrative, regulatory, or other proceeding based on, relating to, or arising out of the Released Claims in this case.

9. The Court approves the payment of the following incentive awards and settlements to the named Plaintiffs and individual consumers who were named plaintiffs in the pending settled cases, for their special efforts that benefited the absent Class members, such amounts to be paid from the award of attorneys’ fees:

- e. Alma Simonet \$10,000
- f. Julie Goldenberg \$10,000
- g. Universal Care, Inc. \$20,000

10. Nothing in this Final Order and Judgment or the Settlement is or shall be deemed or construed to be an admission or evidence of any violation of any statute or law or of any liability or wrongdoing by GSK or of the truth of any of the claims or allegations in the case. The Court has made, and herein makes, no determination as to the merits of the

Civil No. 99-1435 (GAG)

1 claims.

2 11. Without affecting the finality of this Final Order and Judgment, the Court
3 retains continuing jurisdiction over this case and the parties, including all members of the
4 Class, concerning the administration and enforcement of the Settlement, and the benefits to
5 the Class thereunder.

6 12. The Settlement Agreement between the parties and all negotiations,
7 proceedings, documents prepared and statements made in connection herewith shall not be
8 admissible in any proceeding for any purpose, except to enforce or interpret the terms herein
9 in any dispute between the parties.

10 **SO ORDERED.**

11 In San Juan, Puerto Rico this 10th day of September, 2009

12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

S/Gustavo A. Gelpí
GUSTAVO A. GELPI
United States District Judge