

1 In the instant case, Defendants opposed summary judgment (Docket No. 23) and filed a
2 statement of uncontested material facts, which included an additional section titled “Statement of
3 Material Facts Which are in Controversy” (Docket No. 24). Defendants exclusively cite their answer
4 to the complaint in support of their proposed statement of fact. (See Docket No. 24 at 2 ¶¶ 1 & 2.)
5 Said documentation is insufficient for purposes of summary judgment. Defendants’ answer to the
6 complaint, as the only document of record opposing Plaintiffs’ motion for summary judgment, has
7 failed to contest the facts established by Plaintiffs “because said filings do not provide the basis for
8 Defendants’ knowledge on these issues, aside from mere conjecture and unsupported speculation.”
9 Hodge v. Roblex Aviation, Inc., Civil No. 09-1445 (SEC), 2010 WL 2852854 at *3 (D.P.R. July 20,
10 2010). Because the statements contained in Defendants’ opposition to summary judgment were only
11 supported with citations to Defendants’ answer to the complaint, they were disregarded by the court
12 when ruling on the motion for summary judgment at Docket No. 17.

13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

SO ORDERED.

In San Juan, Puerto Rico this 14th day of May, 2012.

S/Gustavo A. Gelpi
GUSTAVO A. GELPÍ
United States District Judge