UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO

3 4

1 2

WALESKA FERRER-RODRIGUEZ, RAY RIVERA-MONTALVO,

Plaintiff,

v.

DR. EFRAIN GONZÁLEZ-DROZ, CONJUGAL PARTNERSHIP GONZALEZ-DOE, SIMED INSURANCE COMPANY,

Civil No. 12-1841 (JAF)

Defendant.

5 6

OPINION AND ORDER

Plaintiffs Waleska Ferrer-Rodríguez and Ray Rivera-Montalvo ("Plaintiffs")
brought a medical malpractice suit under diversity jurisdiction against Defendants
Dr. Efraín González-Droz, Conjugal Partnership González-Doe, and SIMED Insurance
Company. (Docket No. 1.) Defendant SIMED filed a motion to dismiss based on a
failure to toll the statute of limitations. (Docket No. 49.) We find that the statute of
limitations was properly tolled, and we deny the motion to dismiss.

When considering a motion to dismiss, we must construe the complaint in the plaintiff's favor, accept all non-conclusory allegations as true, and draw any reasonable inferences in favor of the plaintiff. <u>Rodríguez-Ramos v. Hernández-Gregorat</u>, 685 F.3d 34, 39-40 (1st Cir. 2010) (citation omitted). Therefore, to the extent that any facts are disputed, the facts set forth below represent Plaintiffs' version of the events at issue.

1 Plaintiffs delivered a stillborn child on October 15, 1998. They first discovered 2 that this was the result of medical malpractice by Defendant González-Droz upon the 3 receipt of an autopsy in December 1998. Plaintiffs properly tolled the statute of 4 limitations by filing an action at the Puerto Rico courts on October 15, 1999 under docket number JDP-99-0462. (Docket No. 1.) As conceded by Defendant SIMED, that action 5 was filed against both Defendant González-Droz and Defendant SIMED. 6 (Docket 7 No. 49.) Plaintiffs filed for a voluntary dismissal of said action on April 10, 2007, and 8 judgment was entered in accordance on April 17, 2007. On April 9, 2008, Plaintiffs re-9 filed their action as a federal case, Civ. No. 08-1417 (JP), which was dismissed without prejudice for lack of complete diversity jurisdiction on November 14, 2008. Plaintiffs 10 11 filed another action under Civ. No. 09-2163 (DRD) on November 12, 2009, and that case was dismissed without prejudice on January 26, 2012, due to a bankruptcy proceeding 12 involving Defendant González-Droz. (Docket No. 1.) That action named Defendant 13 González-Droz but did not name SIMED. (Docket No. 49.) After Defendant González-14 Droz was discharged from bankruptcy status, Plaintiffs re-filed the instant action on 15 October 5, 2012, as Civ. No. 12-1841, against both Defendant González-Droz and 16 Defendant SIMED. (Docket No. 1.) 17

Federal courts sitting in diversity apply state substantive law and federal procedural law. <u>Gasperini v. Ctr. For Humanities, Ic.</u>, 518 U.S. 415, 427 (1996). In Puerto Rico, the tolling of civil actions is a question of substantive law. <u>See Rodríguez</u> <u>Narvaez v. Nazario</u>, 895 F.2d 38, 43 (1st Cir. 1990). Under Puerto Rico's tort statute, a one-year statute of limitations applies. <u>See P.R. Laws Ann. Titl. 31 § 5298(2)</u>. Article Civil No. 12-1841 (JAF)

1873 of Puerto Rico's Civil Code provides three mechanisms by which the prescription
of actions can be tolled, including "by their institution before the courts." P.R. Laws
Ann. Tit. 31, § 5303; see also Tokyo Marine & Fire Ins. Co., Ltd. v. Perez & Cia, De
Puerto Rico, Inc., 142 F.3d 1, 4 (1st Cir. 1998). Once an action is commenced in court, it
causes the entire limitations period to start anew from the date that action comes to a
definite end. López-González v. Mun. of Comerío, 404 F.3d 548 (1st Cir. 2005).

7 Following these rules, the last action against Defendant González-Droz ended January 26, 2012. (Docket No. 1.) If Defendant SIMED is solidarily liable with its 8 9 insured, then there was bar to litigation until January 26, 2013, well after the filing of this action. Id. The solidarity doctrine in the Puerto Rico Civil Code "is based on the theory 10 that there is one obligation, shared by several debtors." Tokyo Marine, 142 F.3d at 6. In 11 cases of solidarity, "the interruption of prescription against one defendant also interrupts 12 the prescription of claims against any other defendants who are solidarily liable with the 13 first." Tokyo Marine, 142 F.3d at 4 (citations omitted); see also P.R. Laws Ann. Tit. 31 14 § 5304. The First Circuit has established that under Puerto Rico law, "insured defendants 15 and their insurance companies are solidarily liable for the acts of the insured." Tokyo 16 Marine, 142 F.3d at 7; Torres Vazquez v. Commercial Union Ins. Co., 367 F.Supp. 2d 17 231, 240 (2005). Therefore, the tolling of the statute of limitations against Defendant 18 González-Droz also tolled the statute of limitations against Defendant SIMED. Because 19 20 the one-year statute of limitations began to run anew on January 26, 2012, Plaintiffs' complaint of October 5, 2012, was not time-barred. 21

1 Therefore, Defendant SIMED's motion to dismiss (Docket No. 49) is **DENIED.**

2 IT IS SO ORDERED.

3 San Juan, Puerto Rico, this 9th day of January, 2014.

4	S/José Antonio Fusté
5	JOSE ANTONIO FUSTE
6	U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE