IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO

· |

Plaintiffs,

AMERICAN AIRLINES, INC.,

ELIZABETH CARRASQUILLO, et als.,

Defendant.

Civil No. 13-1449 (GAG)

OPINION AND ORDER

Plaintiffs allege they were wrongfully terminated by American Airlines, Inc. ("Defendant"), in violation of Law 80, Puerto Rico's wrongful discharge statute. P.R. LAWS ANN. tit, 29 §§ 185a-185m. (Docket No. 1.) Specifically, Plaintiffs argue Defendant violated Law 80's seniority clause because they failed to properly conduct an analysis of Plaintiffs' seniority, by occupational classification, based on all company employees including Defendant's offices outside Puerto Rico. (Docket No. 1)

On May 30, 2014, Defendant moved for summary judgment and dismissal of Plaintiffs' claims. (Docket Nos. 56 & 57.) In turn, Plaintiffs request the court compel Defendant to produce discovery, that they claim is necessary to oppose Defendant's motion for summary judgment. (Docket No. 58.) Specifically, they request the court compel Defendant to produce documents relating to its operations and transfer of employees, including those of offices located outside Puerto Rico. <u>Id.</u> On June 25, 2014, Magistrate Judge Marcos Lopez issued a Report and Recommendation ("R&R") granting in part and denying in part Plaintiffs' motion to compel. (Docket No. 61) Both parties timely field their oppositions to the R&R. (Docket Nos. 62 & 63.) After careful review, the undersigned **ADOPTS** the R&R at Docket No. 61 as follows, and **GRANTS in part and DENIES** in part Plaintiffs' motion to compel at Docket No. 58.

Magistrate Judge Lopez's R&R suggests two possible courses of action. First, the court could certify the issue to the Puerto Rico Supreme Court. Alternatively, the court could rule on

Plaintiffs' motion to compel relying on Reyes Sanchez v. Eaton, 2013 PRSC 148 (2013) (Docket No. 47-1) as precedent, limiting discovery to "information regarding seniority of employees within Puerto Rico and of transfers made within Puerto Rico." (Docket No. 61 at 5.) The undersigned chooses the latter road.

Defendants oppose Plaintiffs' motion to compel, arguing that Plaintiffs' request regarding the seniority calculation under Law 80 is without merit, according to a recent opinion issued by the Puerto Rico Supreme Court. In Reyes Sanchez, 2013 PRSC 148 (2013) (Docket No. 47-1), the Puerto Rico Supreme Court discussed the scope of Law 80's seniority calculation and held that the provision does not extend to an employer's overseas operations and transfer of employees. The court finds this issue has already been decided by the Puerto Rico Supreme Court, therefore, certification is not necessary. Moreover, the court adopts and incorporates the R&R's discussion regarding the scope of Law 80's seniority calculation and legislative intent. (See Docket No. 61 at 5-7.)

As recommended by Magistrate Judge Lopez, the court **GRANTS** in part and **DENIES** in part Plaintiffs' motion to compel. (See Docket No. 61 at 7-10.)

SO ORDERED.

In San Juan, Puerto Rico this 16th day of July, 2014.

S/Gustavo A. Gelpí

GUSTAVO A. GELPÍ

United States District Judge

21

22

23

24

¹ As to the scope of Law 80's seniority calculation the Puerto Rico Supreme Court stated:

As to the transfers alleged which arose from establishments in other jurisdictions, we believe Article 3 of the Law does not require an analysis of movement of personnel between the company's establishments on an intentional level. This analysis is limited to determining the frequency of transfers of employees between the company's establishments in the jurisdiction of Puerto Rico.

Consequently, movement of personnel or transfers from Eaton's plants in other jurisdictions is not considered a transfer for purposes of establishing the frequency of transfers between the company's establishments in Puerto Rico.

Reyes Sanchez, at 24-25. (Docket No. 47-1 at 25.)

25 26

27 28