UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO

ELIZABETH MENDEZ-SANTIAGO, JUAN CARLOS DÍAZ-MORENO, JPDM,

Plaintiffs.

v.

HOSPITAL ESPAÑOL AUXILIO MUTUO DE PUERTO RICO, INC., LUIS A. CRUZ-MIRANDA, SIMED, JANE DOE, CONJUGAL PARTNERSHIP CRUZ-DOE.

Civil No. 14-1548 (JAF)

Defendants.

6	
7	
8	

5

1 2 3

4

OPINION AND ORDER

Plaintiffs Elizabeth Méndez-Santiago ("Méndez-Santiago"), Juan Carlos Díaz-Moreno ("Díaz-Moreno"), and minor JPDM (also called "the Baby") (collectively 9 "Plaintiffs") are suing defendants Hospital Español Auxilio Mutuo de Puerto Rico, Inc. ("the Hospital"), Luis A. Cruz-Miranda ("Cruz-Miranda" or "the Doctor"), SIMED, Jane 10 Doe, and Conjugal Partnership Cruz-Doe (collectively "Defendants") in a tort action for 11 alleged medical malpractice under articles 1802 and 1803 of the Puerto Rico Civil Code, 12 13 31 L.P.R.A. §5141 and §5142. (ECF No. 1.) Jurisdiction arises under diversity. (ECF No. 1.) The Hospital asks for partial summary judgment. (ECF No. 17.) For the 14 following reasons, we deny their motion for summary judgment. 15

- 16
- 17

I.

Background

18 When considering a summary judgment motion, we typically view all facts in the 19 light most favorable to the non-moving party. Therefore, to the extent that any facts are Civil No. 14-1548 (JAF)

disputed, the facts set forth below represent Plaintiffs' version of the events at issue. *Matsushita Elec. Indus. Co. v. Zenith Radio Corp.*, 475 U.S. 574, 587 (1986). This is true
so long as Plaintiffs' asserted facts properly comply with Local Rues 56(c) and (e). *See Cosme-Rosado v. Serrando-Rodriguez*, 360 F.3d 42, 45 (1st Cir. 2004). We keep our
recitation fairly concise, as we know that the details will be fleshed out in great detail at
trial.

7 Méndez-Santiago received pre-natal care through her private physician. (ECF 8 No.19 at 2.) When she went into labor on November 14, 2012, the Doctor instructed her 9 to go to the Hospital. (ECF No. 19 at 3.) Méndez-Santiago and her husband, Díaz-10 Moreno, arrived at the Hospital around 7:00 P.M. (ECF No. 38 at 4.) The Doctor arrived 11 at the Hospital at 11:00 P.M. (ECF No. 19 at 3.) The Baby's heart rate began to have abnormal tracing at approximately 1:00 A.M., and late decelerations repetitively 12 13 occurred. (ECF No. 38 at 3.) Late decelerations are associated with the incapacity of the 14 placenta to adequately oxygenate the baby. (ECF No. 38 at 17.) However, the Hospital does not have any protocols established regarding the using and monitoring of the fetal 15 heart rate monitor and regarding the course of action to be followed when an abnormal 16 tracing is detected. (ECF No. 38 at 16.) There were no tracings of the fetal heart monitor 17 18 or uterine contractions from 7:51 A.M. until 9:56 A.M., and the medical records are devoid of any recordings during this time. (ECF No. 38 at 16.) The Doctor has admitted 19 20 that he was not in the room with Plaintiffs throughout the night. (ECF No. 38 at 5.) At 9:56 A.M., the Baby was born "dead" and was resuscitated. (See ECF No. 38 at 8.) The 21

Civil No. 14-1548 (JAF)

Baby suffered hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy. (ECF No. 38 at 15.) This condition led
 to very severe disability and severely shortened life expectancy. (*See* ECF No. 1.)

3 On July 10, 2014, Plaintiffs filed a complaint against Defendants in federal court. (ECF No. 1.) On June 12, 2015, the Hospital filed the instant motion for partial summary 4 5 judgment, a statement of uncontested material facts, and a memorandum of law. (ECF Nos. 17, 19, 20.) On June 29, 2015, Plaintiffs filed a response, a memorandum of law, 6 7 and a statement of contested facts. (ECF Nos. 36 - 38.) On July 3, 2015, the Hospital 8 filed a reply and supplemental motions. (ECF Nos. 42 - 45.) On July 9, 2015, Plaintiffs 9 filed a response. (ECF No. 46.) On July 17, 2015, the Hospital filed a sur-reply. (ECF No. 64.) Plaintiffs retained two experts to provide testimony supporting their motions: 10 11 Dr. José Gorrín-Peralta ("Gorrín-Peralta") as their medical obstetrics expert witness, and Mrs. Michele Holzman, RNC-OB, C-EFM, as their nursing care witness. (ECF No. 19 at 12 13 5; ECF No. 38 at 11.)

- 14
- 15

II.

<u>Analysis</u>

Defendants are entitled to summary judgment on a claim if they can show that there is no genuine dispute over the material facts underlying that claim. *Celotex Corp. v. Catrett*, 477 U.S. 317, 323 (1986). We must decide whether a reasonable jury could find for Plaintiffs in any of their claims when all reasonable inferences from the evidence are drawn in their favor. *See Scott v. Harris*, 550 U.S. 372, 380 (2007).

A recent First Circuit case laid out the standards of a medical malpractice case
tried in Puerto Rico:

This diversity suit is governed by the substantive law of Puerto Rico. In 1 2 Puerto Rico, as in many jurisdictions, in order to prevail on a medical 3 malpractice claim, a party must establish (1) the duty owed; (2) an act or 4 omission transgressing that duty; and (3) a sufficient causal nexus between 5 the breach and the harm. In the context of medical malpractice actions, the 6 Puerto Rico Supreme Court has explained that a physician's duty is to offer 7 his or her patient that medical care, attention, skill, and protection that, in 8 light of the modern means of communication and education, and pursuant 9 to the current status of scientific knowledge and medical practice, meets the 10 professional requirements generally acknowledged by the medical profession. To prevail, a plaintiff must prove by a preponderance of the 11 12 evidence both that the standard of care was not met, and that the failure to 13 meet an acceptable standard caused the harm.

14

15 *Pages-RA.M.irez v. RA.M.irez-Gonzalez*, 605 F.3d 109, 113 (1st Cir. 2010) (internal

16 citations omitted).

Another First Circuit case is perfectly on point. *Marcano Rivera v. Turabo Medical Center Partnership*, 415 F.3d 162 (1st Cir. 2005), was a case in which a fetal heartrate was inadequately monitored and the baby was born with permanent neurological damage as a result of neonatal asphyxia. Gorrín-Peralta was even a medical expert in that case. *Id.* The First Circuit accepted the testimony from Gorrín-Peralta and another medical expert that:

23 [T]he standard of care requires monitoring high-risk deliveries, including induced labor, every 15 minutes. Monitoring includes evaluating both the 24 25 fetal heart rate and uterine contraction information provided by the fetal monitor's paper tracings and the fetal heart rate information provided by the 26 27 fetal monitor's digital display. Monitoring is a shared responsibility of the doctor and the nurse; when the doctor is not present, the nurse is in charge 28 29 of the monitoring. Dr. Gorrín's testimony on this point was corroborated 30 by HIMA's expert Dr. José Vargas Cordero, who testified that when the 31 doctor is not present, the nurse is in charge of checking both the tracing and the digital monitor, and that if the nurse notices an abnormal reading, she 32 33 must call the doctor.

Civil No. 14-1548 (JAF)

1	Marcano Rivera v. Turabo, 415 F.3d at 168. We hold that a reasonable jury could find
2	that the Hospital fell below the standard of care. See Scott v. Harris, 550 U.S. at 380.
3	The First Circuit also wrote that to "establish causation under Puerto Rican law, a
4	plaintiff must prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the physician's negligent
5	conduct was the factor that most probably caused harm to the plaintiff." Marcano Rivera
6	v. Turabo, 415 F.3d at 168 (internal citations omitted). We hold that a reasonable jury
7	could find that the Hospital's negligent conduct was the factor that most probably caused
8	harm to the Plaintiff. See Scott v. Harris, 550 U.S. at 380.
9	III.
10	Conclusion
11	For the foregoing reasons, the Hospital's motion for partial summary judgment
12	(ECF No. 17) is DENIED . The Hospital's supplemental motions in support of this
13	request (ECF No. 42, 43, 44) are MOOT .
14	IT IS SO ORDERED.
15	San Juan, Puerto Rico, this 5th day of August, 2015.
16 17 18	<u>S/José Antonio Fusté</u> JOSE ANTONIO FUSTE U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE