

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

CHAIRMAN:
Judge Wm. Terrell Hodges
United States District Court
Middle District of Florida

MEMBERS:
Judge D. Lowell Jensen
United States District Court
Northern District of California

Judge J. Frederick Motz
United States District Court
District of Maryland

Judge Robert L. Miller, Jr.
United States District Court
Northern District of Indiana

Judge Kathryn H. Vratil
United States District Court
District of Kansas

Judge David R. Hansen
United States Court of Appeals
Eighth Circuit

Judge Anthony J. Scirica
United States Court of Appeals
Third Circuit

DIRECT REPLY TO:

Jeffery N. Lüthi
Clerk of the Panel
One Columbus Circle, NE
Thurgood Marshall Federal
Judiciary Building
Room G-255, North Lobby
Washington, D.C. 20002

Telephone: [202] 502-2800
Fax: [202] 502-2888

<http://www.jpml.uscourts.gov>

April 12, 2007

NOTICE OF HEARING SESSION

Dear Counsel:

Pursuant to the order of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation filed today, you are hereby notified that a hearing session has been scheduled to consider various matters pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407.

DATE OF HEARING SESSION: May 31, 2007

LOCATION OF HEARING SESSION: Lloyd D. George United States Courthouse
Courtroom 7C, 7th Floor
333 Las Vegas Boulevard South
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101


TIME OF HEARING SESSION: In those matters designated for oral argument, counsel presenting oral argument must be present at **8:30 a.m.** in order for the Panel to allocate the amount of time for oral argument. Oral argument will commence at **9:30 a.m.**

Please direct your attention to the enclosed Hearing Session Order and Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session for a listing of the matters scheduled for consideration at this hearing session.

- Section A of this Schedule lists the matters designated for oral argument.
- Section B of this Schedule lists the matters that the Panel has determined to consider **without oral argument**, pursuant to Rule 16.1(c), R.P.J.P.M.L., 199 F.R.D. 425, 439 (2001).


For those matters listed on Section A of the Schedule, the enclosed blue "Notice of Presentation or Waiver of Oral Argument" must be returned to this office no later than **May 14, 2007**. Note the procedures governing Panel oral argument which are outlined on the enclosed "Procedures for Oral Argument before the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation." These procedures are strictly adhered to and your cooperation is appreciated.

Very truly,



Jeffery N. Lüthi
Clerk of the Panel

c: Clerk, U.S. District Court for the District of Nevada



BEFORE THE JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

WM. TERRELL HODGES, CHAIRMAN, D. LOWELL JENSEN, J. FREDERICK MOTZ, ROBERT L. MILLER, JR., KATHRYN H. VRATIL, DAVID R. HANSEN AND ANTHONY J. SCIRICA, JUDGES OF THE PANEL

HEARING SESSION ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that on May 31, 2007, a hearing session will be held in Las Vegas, Nevada, to consider the matters on the attached Schedule under 28 U.S.C. § 1407.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that at said hearing session the Panel may, on its own initiative, consider transfer of any or all of the actions in those matters to any district or districts.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that at said hearing session the matters listed on Section A of the attached Schedule shall be designated for oral argument.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that at said hearing session the matters listed on Section B of the attached Schedule shall be considered without oral argument, pursuant to Rule 16.1(c), R.P.J.P.M.L., 199 F.R.D. 425, 439 (2001). The Panel reserves the prerogative, on any basis including submissions of parties pursuant to Panel Rule 16.1(b), to issue a subsequent notice designating any of those matters for oral argument.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation shall direct notice of this hearing session to counsel for all parties involved in the matters on the attached Schedule.

FOR THE PANEL:



Wm. Terrell Hodges
Chairman

SCHEDULE OF MATTERS FOR HEARING SESSION
May 31, 2007 -- Las Vegas, Nevada

SECTION A
MATTERS DESIGNATED FOR ORAL ARGUMENT

MDL-1835 -- In re Brian L. Roberts Litigation

Motion of plaintiff Brian L. Roberts for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York:

Southern District of New York

Brian L. Roberts v. Sony Corp., et al., C.A. No. 1:06-6337

District of Utah

Brian L. Roberts v. Sony, et al., C.A. No. 2:04-673

MDL-1836 -- In re Mirapex Products Liability Litigation

Motion of defendants Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., and Pfizer Inc. for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York or, in the alternative, the United States District Court for the District of Connecticut or other suitable United States district court:

Northern District of California

Therese Bottiglieri v. Pfizer Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:06-3248

District of Maryland

William David Livingston, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.,
C.A. No. 1:06-1887

District of Minnesota

Gary Selinsky, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.,
C.A. No. 0:06-873

Robert M. Zwayer, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.,
C.A. No. 0:06-874

Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session, Section A
Las Vegas, Nevada

p. 2

MDL-1836 (Continued)

District of Minnesota (Continued)

Michael A. Dubaich, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.,
C.A. No. 0:06-875
Donald J. Nelsen v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.,
C.A. No. 0:06-876
Larry Webb, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.,
C.A. No. 0:06-898
Timothy Harms v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-899
Timothy L. Estep v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.,
C.A. No. 0:06-900
Mary Conway v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-901
Dennis M. Scharpen, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.,
C.A. No. 0:06-1206
Gary E. Charbonneau, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.,
C.A. No. 0:06-1215
Todd R. Cain v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-1582
Manuel A. Quintela, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.,
C.A. No. 0:06-1675
Thaddeus R. Fayard v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.,
C.A. No. 0:06-2144
Hylton H. Dodd v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.,
C.A. No. 0:06-2145
Michael W. Averitt, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.,
C.A. No. 0:06-2194
William F. Courtney, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.,
C.A. No. 0:06-2546
Richard I. Bloom, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.,
C.A. No. 0:06-2577
Joyce A. Anderson v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.,
C.A. No. 0:06-2578
Kathleen R. Frye, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.,
C.A. No. 0:06-2662
Cynthia Harris, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.,
C.A. No. 0:06-3009

Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session, Section A
Las Vegas, Nevada

p. 3

MDL-1836 (Continued)

District of Minnesota (Continued)

Daniel M. Hayward, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.,
C.A. No. 0:06-3180
Daniel F. Neal v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-3182
Peggy J. Bronson v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.,
C.A. No. 0:06-3254
Theresa R. Seaman, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.,
C.A. No. 0:06-3255
Madeline J. Vingers v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.,
C.A. No. 0:06-3284
Irene M. Conejo v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.,
C.A. No. 0:06-3519
Melody S. Erickson v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.,
C.A. No. 0:06-3526
Alan Kite, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.,
C.A. No. 0:06-3527
George P. Wagner v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.,
C.A. No. 0:06-3537
Gordon J. Haughey, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.,
C.A. No. 0:06-3539
Stella C. Rush, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.,
C.A. No. 0:06-3544
Carl M. Milam, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.,
C.A. No. 0:06-3778
Floyd Wayne Kanuch v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.,
C.A. No. 0:06-3839
Rick James Berger v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.,
C.A. No. 0:06-4358
Mark Mayer v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-4366
Resa King, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.,
C.A. No. 0:06-4502
George Konrad v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.,
C.A. No. 0:06-4699
Steven Purser, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.,
C.A. No. 0:06-4783

Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session, Section A
Las Vegas, Nevada

p. 4

MDL-1836 (Continued)

District of Minnesota (Continued)

Barbara Goldman, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.,
C.A. No. 0:06-4784
James Holmes, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.,
C.A. No. 0:06-4785
Florene D. Saracco v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.,
C.A. No. 0:06-4827
Greg Stutz v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-4828
Thomas M. Celorie v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.,
C.A. No. 0:06-4868
Maryann J. Deleo, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.,
C.A. No. 0:06-4869
William Chamberlain, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.,
C.A. No. 0:06-4870
Ronald P. Markel, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.,
C.A. No. 0:06-4871
Hilarie Pearce v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-4944
Linda Michels, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.,
C.A. No. 0:06-4945
David Emery, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.,
C.A. No. 0:06-4946
Richard Scott Brown v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.,
C.A. No. 0:06-4947
William Gage, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.,
C.A. No. 0:06-4948
Carolyn Paulette Shows, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.,
C.A. No. 0:06-4949
Michele C. Glancy, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.,
C.A. No. 0:06-5123
Tza Ping Aliya Lee, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.,
C.A. No. 0:07-39

Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session, Section A
Las Vegas, Nevada

p. 5

MDL-1836 (Continued)

Western District of Missouri

Wayne Jackson, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.,
C.A. No. 4:06-969

Western District of Washington

Matthew Andresen, et al. v. Pfizer Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-1413

MDL-1837 -- In re BMW Subframe Marketing and Sales Practices Litigation

Motion of plaintiff Scott Halperin for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey:

Central District of California

Eric Bacca, et al. v. BMW of North America, LLC, C.A. No. 2:06-6753

Middle District of Florida

Frances Perrone v. BMW of North America, LLC, C.A. No. 8:06-2045

Southern District of Mississippi

Jaime Moore v. BMW of North America, LLC, C.A. No. 4:06-139

District of New Jersey

Lyndsay Alpert, et al. v. BMW of North America, LLC, C.A. No. 2:06-5198

Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session, Section A
Las Vegas, Nevada

p. 6

MDL-1838 -- In re TJX Companies, Inc., Customer Data Security Breach Litigation

Motion of plaintiffs Julie Buckley, et al., for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts:

Northern District of Alabama

Jo Wood, et al. v. TJX, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-147

District of Massachusetts

Paula G. Mace v. TJX Companies, Inc., C.A. No. 1:07-10162

Amerifirst Bank v. TJX Companies, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:07-10169

Julie Buckley, et al. v. TJX Companies, Inc., C.A. No. 1:07-10209

Thomas J. Gaydos v. TJX Companies, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:07-10217

District of Puerto Rico

Patricia Miranda, et al. v. TJX, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-1075

MDL-1839 -- In re Pro Tem Partners, Inc., and Semico Research Corp. Contract Litigation

Motion of Pro Tem Partners, Inc., for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts:

District of Arizona

Semico Research Corp. v. Jan-Charles Fine, et al., C.A. No. 2:06-2475

District of Massachusetts

Pro Tem Partners, Inc. v. Semico Research Corp., C.A. No. 1:05-11822

Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session, Section A
Las Vegas, Nevada

p. 7

MDL-1840 -- In re Motor Fuel Temperature Sales Practices Litigation

Motion of defendants Exxon Mobil Corp.; Hess Corp.; and Motiva Enterprises LLC for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey:

Northern District of California

Mark Rushing, et al. v. Alon USA, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:06-7621

District of Kansas

Zachary Wilson, et al. v. Ampride, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-2582
American Fiber & Cabling LLC., et al. v. BP Corp. North America, Inc., et al.,
C.A. No. 2:07-2053

Western District of Kentucky

Keen Exploration, LLC, et al. v. Amoco Oil Co., et al., C.A. No. 5:07-14

Western District of Missouri

Victor VanDyne v. Murphy Oil USA, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-4302
Ditzfeld Transfer, Inc. v. Pilot Travel Centers, LLC., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-4025
James Vanderbilt v. BP Corp. North America, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:06-1052
Brent Donaldson, et al. v. BP Corp. North America, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:07-93

District of New Jersey

Richard Galauski, et al. v. Amerada Hess Corp., et al., C.A. No. 3:06-6005

Western District of Oklahoma

Craig Massey, et al. v. BP Corp. North America, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 5:07-102
Cynthia J. Cary, et al. v. BP Corp. North America, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 5:07-155

Western District of Tennessee

Diane Foster, et al. v. BP North America Petroleum, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-2059

Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session, Section A
Las Vegas, Nevada

p. 8

MDL-1841 -- In re Wells Fargo Loan Processor Overtime Pay Litigation

Motion of defendant Wells Fargo Home Mortgage for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the District of Kansas:

Northern District of California

Mary Basore, et al. v. Wells Fargo Home Mortgage, et al., C.A. No. 3:07-461

District of Kansas

Trudy Bowne, et al. v. Wells Fargo Home Mortgage, C.A. No. 2:06-2020

MDL-1842 -- In re Kugel Mesh Hernia Patch Products Liability Litigation

Motion of plaintiffs Sonia Montiel, et al., for centralization of certain of the following actions in the United States District Court for the District of Rhode Island and motion of plaintiffs Lilyan Kathleen Hall, et al., for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Alabama:

Northern District of Alabama

Lilyan Kathleen Hall, et al. v. Davol, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-480

Eastern District of Arkansas

Carolene Jean Carter v. Davol, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:06-1012

Western District of Arkansas

Mary Jane Campbell v. Davol, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 5:06-5154

Eastern District of California

Daniel Poston, et al. v. Davol, Inc., C.A. No. 2:07-32

Northern District of Florida

Jane R. Wilson v. Davol, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:06-541

Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session, Section A
Las Vegas, Nevada

p. 9

MDL-1842 (Continued)

Central District of Illinois

James Daniel Mathien v. Davol, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-2031

Eastern District of Missouri

Timothy J. Edgar v. Davol, Inc., C.A. No. 4:06-1471

District of New Jersey

Jenine Von Essen v. C.R. Bard, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-4786

Eastern District of New York

Thomas D. Hyland, et al. v. Davol, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-1054

Southern District of New York

Sophia Katechis, et al. v. Davol, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:07-2098

Northern District of Ohio

Richard H. Sayler, et al. v. Davol, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:07-765

District of Rhode Island

Sonia Montiel, et al. v. Davol, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:07-64

Middle District of Tennessee

George Andrew Luffman v. C.R. Bard, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-243

Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session, Section A
Las Vegas, Nevada

p. 10

MDL-1843 -- In re Schering Marketing and Sales Practices Litigation

Motion of plaintiff United American Insurance Company for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania:

District of New Jersey

International Brotherhood of Teamsters Local No. 331 Health & Welfare Trust Fund v. Schering-Plough Corp., C.A. No. 2:06-5774

Eastern District of Pennsylvania

Blue Cross & Blue Shield of Alabama v. Schering-Plough Corp., et al.,
C.A. No. 2:07-568

United American Insurance Co. v. Schering-Plough Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-702

MDL-1844 -- In re Air Crash Near Peixoto de Azeveda, Brazil, on September 29, 2006

Motion of plaintiff Zita Swensson de Mattos for centralization of the following actions in a single United States district court:

Central District of California

Maria Jose Miranda Bermudes Abreu, et al. v. ExcelAire Service, Inc., et al.,
C.A. No. 2:07-1296

Middle District of Florida

Suely de Castro Alves Miranda, etc. v. Joseph Lepore, et al., C.A. No. 6:07-283

Eastern District of Missouri

Bianca Pi Hancock, etc. v. ExcelAire Services, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:07-372

Eastern District of New York

Patricia Abrahim Barbosa Garcia, et al. v. ExcelAire Service, Inc., et al.,
C.A. No. 1:06-5964

Mario De Abreu Lleras, et al. v. ExcelAire Service, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-6083

Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session, Section A
Las Vegas, Nevada

p. 11

MDL-1844 (Continued)

Southern District of New York

Zita Swensson de Mattos, etc. v. ExcelAire Services, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:07-948

MDL-1845 -- In re ConAgra Peanut Butter Products Liability Litigation

Motion of plaintiffs Grady Ware, et al., for centralization of certain of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia; motion of plaintiffs Thomas B. Price, Annie Blackwell, and Jamie S. Jeffords for centralization of certain of the following actions in the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina; and motion of plaintiff Pamela Gateley, etc., for centralization of certain of the following actions in a single United States district court:

Middle District of Florida

Marion Caldarera v. ConAgra Foods, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 8:07-384

Southern District of Florida

Charles Stafford v. ConAgra Foods, Inc., C.A. No. 9:07-80178

Middle District of Georgia

Karen Klepsig, et al. v. ConAgra Foods, Inc., C.A. No. 1:07-37
Geoffrey Midler v. ConAgra Foods, Inc., C.A. No. 1:07-42

Northern District of Georgia

Anne Cease, et al. v. ConAgra Foods, Inc., C.A. No. 1:07-425
John Harper v. ConAgra Foods, Inc., C.A. No. 1:07-538
Grady Ware, et al. v. ConAgra Foods, Inc., C.A. No. 4:07-40

Northern District of Mississippi

Pamela Gateley, etc. v. ConAgra Foods, Inc., C.A. No. 2:07-35

Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session, Section A
Las Vegas, Nevada

p. 12

MDL-1845 (Continued)

Western District of Missouri

Brian Cox, et al. v. ConAgra Foods, Inc., C.A. No. 5:07-6027

Western District of New York

Mark Avalone, et al. v. ConAgra Foods, Inc., C.A. No. 6:07-6084

Eastern District of Oklahoma

Irene Clandord v. ConAgra Foods, Inc., C.A. No. 6:07-56

Eastern District of Pennsylvania

Lucille A. Knight v. ConAgra Foods, Inc., C.A. No. 2:07-818

Middle District of Pennsylvania

Kathleen Nieves, et al. v. ConAgra Foods, Inc., C.A. No. 3:07-327

District of South Carolina

Annie Blackwell v. ConAgra Foods, Inc., C.A. No. 0:07-529

Thomas B. Price v. ConAgra Foods, Inc., C.A. No. 3:07-536

Jerry Shawn Medford v. ConAgra Foods, Inc., C.A. No. 3:07-611

Jamie S. Jeffords v. ConAgra Foods, Inc., C.A. No. 4:07-530

Jennifer Ann Hoey, et al. v. ConAgra Foods, Inc., C.A. No. 7:07-544

Eastern District of Tennessee

Cynthia Woodlee, et al. v. ConAgra Foods, Inc., C.A. No. 4:07-15

Western District of Washington

James Winston Daniels, II, et al. v. ConAgra, Inc., C.A. No. 2:07-259

Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session, Section A
Las Vegas, Nevada

p. 13

MDL-1846 -- In re Trade Partners, Inc., Investors Litigation

Motion of defendants Macatawa Bank Corp. and Macatawa Bank for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Western District of Michigan or, in the alternative, the United States District Court for the Western District of Oklahoma:

Central District of California

James Lee, et al. v. Macatawa Bank Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-8009

Western District of Michigan

Forrest W. Jenkins, et al. v. Macatawa Bank Corp., et al., C.A. No. 1:03-321

Western District of Oklahoma

Steven M. Adamson, et al. v. Macatawa Bank Corp., et al., C.A. No. 5:06-1267
Eddie Elkins, et al. v. Macatawa Bank Corp., C.A. No. 5:07-109

Northern District of Texas

Frank V. Bailey, et al. v. Macatawa Bank Corp., C.A. No. 3:06-2193

MDL-1847 -- In re Tayssoun Transportation, Inc., and Dallas & Mavis Specialized Carrier Co., LLC, Contract Litigation

Motion of plaintiff Tayssoun Transportation, Inc., for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas:

Northern District of Texas

Dallas & Mavis Specialized Carrier Co., LLC v. Pacific Motor Transport Co., et al.,
C.A. No. 3:06-1922

Southern District of Texas

Tayssoun Transportation, Inc. v. Dallas & Mavis Specialized Carrier Co., LLC,
C.A. No. 4:06-3463

Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session, Section A
Las Vegas, Nevada

p. 14

MDL-1848 -- In re Rembrandt Technologies, LP, Patent Litigation

Motion of defendant CoxCom, Inc., for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware:

District of Delaware

Rembrandt Technologies, LP v. Cablevision Systems Corp., et al., C.A. No. 1:06-635
Coxcom, Inc. v. Rembrandt Technologies, LP, C.A. No. 1:06-721
Rembrandt Technologies, LP v. CBS Corp., C.A. No. 1:06-727
Rembrandt Technologies, LP v. NBC Universal, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-729
Rembrandt Technologies, LP v. ABC, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-730
Rembrandt Technologies, LP v. Fox Entertainment Group, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:06-731

Southern District of New York

Rembrandt Technologies, LP v. Adelphia Communications Corp., et al.,
Bky. Advy. No. 1:06-1739
Rembrandt Technologies, LP v. Adelphia Communications Corp., C.A. No. 1:07-214

Eastern District of Texas

Rembrandt Technologies, LP v. Comcast Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:05-443
Rembrandt Technologies, LP v. Sharp Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-47
Rembrandt Technologies, LP v. Charter Communications, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-223
Rembrandt Technologies, LP v. Time Warner Cable, Inc., C.A. No. 2:06-224
Rembrandt Technologies, LP v. Time Warner Cable, Inc., C.A. No. 2:06-369
Rembrandt Technologies, LP v. Comcast Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-506
Rembrandt Technologies, LP v. Charter Communications, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-507

MDL-1849 -- In re C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., Overtime Pay Litigation

Motion of plaintiffs for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois or, in the alternative, the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota:

Northern District of Alabama

Donna Eddy, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 2:06-4926

Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session, Section A
Las Vegas, Nevada

p. 15

MDL-1849 (Continued)

Central District of California

Mimi Vuong v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 2:07-1428
Nancy Austin, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 8:07-301

Eastern District of California

Gladys Garcia, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:07-157

Northern District of California

Kimberly Elam, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 4:07-475

Northern District of Georgia

Terri Kuvach, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:07-328

Northern District of Illinois

Evelyn Sparks, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6461
Cheryl D. Braithwaite, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6462
Lynn A. Amorose, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6463
Angela L. Jacobson v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6465
Scott D. Hyder, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6503
Anne K. Ciaglia, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6507
Joann M. McGill, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6510
Richard Cahn, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6512
Jason K. Bergquist, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6515
Craig Bowen, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6516
Christopher L. Sims, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6520
Shannon D. Anderson, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6527
Nora Hageman, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6530
Brenda Mitchell, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6538
Lynette DiNova, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6545
Joanna Elke, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6552

Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session, Section A
Las Vegas, Nevada

p. 16

MDL-1849 (Continued)

Northern District of Illinois (Continued)

Roy Rogenic, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6553
LaToya R. McCants, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6556
Michael Blackburn v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6563
Sharon K. Dodson-McDonald, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.,
C.A. No. 1:06-6564
Patricia A. Parrish, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6568
Brendan M. Clarke, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6581
Amber Vandersommen, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6582
Leslie Nemelka, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6623
Kari S. Johnson, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6629
Ariel B. Crotty, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6630
Wendy L. Ferger, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6632
Laura J. Jeneault, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6634
Heidi Michelle Poepsel v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6664

Southern District of Indiana

Catherine A. Wilcox v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 3:06-197

District of Kansas

Kimberly K. Bethel, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 2:07-2129
Lisa M. Quigley, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 6:07-1063

Eastern District of Louisiana

Morgan J. Wood, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 2:07-1269

Eastern District of Michigan

Carla M. Strugala v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 5:07-10767

Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session, Section A
Las Vegas, Nevada

p. 17

MDL-1849 (Continued)

District of Minnesota

Jamie L. Benner, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 0:06-4640
Justin Accola, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 0:06-4643
Jeffrey Cichosz, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 0:06-4644
William F. Holmberg, Jr. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 0:06-4645
Elizabeth Marianne Buck, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 0:06-4646
Penny M. Cantazaro, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 0:06-4647
Kathy Cota, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 0:06-4648
Jill K. East, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 0:06-4649
Kathleen L. Hambleton, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 0:06-4650
Elizabeth Hopp v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 0:06-4651
Joann F. Larson v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 0:06-4652
Kelley S. Lyons, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 0:06-4653
Kimberly M. Martineau, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 0:06-4654
Sandra Steinmetz, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 0:06-4655
John Gino Giovannoni v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 0:06-4960
Sally J. Dowden, et al., C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 0:07-89
Amelia M. Alfaro, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 0:07-90
Jennifer L. Alfano, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 0:07-251
Claudia Alicia Martinez, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 0:07-542
Jennifer N. Mcinnis v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 0:07-544
Beth Shaw, et al v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 0:07-595
Shannon Davis v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 0:07-1119
Esther Nevarez, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 0:07-1563

Eastern District of Missouri

Heather Lee Markle, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 4:07-428

Northern District of Ohio

Julie Gallagher, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 5:07-846

Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session, Section A
Las Vegas, Nevada

p. 18

MDL-1849 (Continued)

Western District of Oklahoma

Timothy J. Bumgarner, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 5:07-278

District of Oregon

Allison M. Cassie, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 3:07-333

District of South Carolina

Rodney Brewer, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 3:06-3595

District of South Dakota

Angela K. Smoot v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 4:07-4038

Eastern District of Tennessee

Carolyn Baker Hall, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 3:07-46

Eastern District of Texas

Aaron Smith, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 4:07-1

Northern District of Texas

Stephanie Smith, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 3:07-409

Western District of Texas

Adelita Dickson, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 5:07-186

Eastern District of Wisconsin

Kelly K. Hoell v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 2:07-267

Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session, Section A
Las Vegas, Nevada

p. 19

MDL-1850 -- In re Pet Food Products Liability Litigation

Motion of plaintiff Shirley Sexton for centralization of certain of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Central District of California; motion of plaintiff Christina Troiano for centralization of certain of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida; and motion of plaintiffs Tom Whaley; Stacey Heller, et al.; Audrey Kornelius, et al.; Suzanne E. Johnson, et al.; and Michele Suggett, et al., for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Western District of Washington:

Western District of Arkansas

Charles Ray Sims, et al. v. Menu Foods Income Fund, et al., C.A. No. 5:07-5053
Richard Scott Widen, et al. v. Menu Foods, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 5:07-5055

Central District of California

Shirley Sexton v. Menu Foods Income Fund, et al., C.A. No. 2:07-1958

District of Connecticut

Lauri A. Osborne v. Menu Foods, Inc., C.A. No. 3:07-469

Southern District of Florida

Christina Troiano v. Menu Foods, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:07-60428

Northern District of Illinois

Dawn Majerczyk v. Menu Foods, Inc., C.A. No. 1:07-1543

District of New Jersey

Jared Workman, et al. v. Menu Foods Ltd., et al., C.A. No. 1:07-1338

Eastern District of Tennessee

Lizajeane Holt v. Menu Foods, Inc., C.A. No. 3:07-94

Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session, Section A
Las Vegas, Nevada

p. 20

MDL-1850 (Continued)

Western District of Washington

Tom Whaley v. Menu Foods, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-411

Stacey Heller, et al. v. Menu Foods, C.A. No. 2:07-453

Audrey Kornelius, et al. v. Menu Foods, C.A. No. 2:07-454

Suzanne E. Johnson, et al. v. Menu Foods, C.A. No. 2:07-455

Michele Suggett, et al. v. Menu Foods, et al., C.A. No. 2:07-457

Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session, Section B
Las Vegas, Nevada

p. 21

SECTION B
MATTERS DESIGNATED FOR CONSIDERATION WITHOUT ORAL ARGUMENT

MDL-875 -- In re Asbestos Products Liability Litigation (No. VI)

Oppositions of plaintiffs and defendant Rowan Companies, Inc., to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania:

Western District of Arkansas

Eddie Joe Wooten, et al. v. CertainTeed Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-2004

Northern District of California

Carla Groce, et al. v. Todd Shipyards Corp., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-241
Geraldine Burton, et al. v. A.W. Chesterton Co., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-702

Southern District of Illinois

Jack Franklin v. CSX Transportation, Inc., C.A. No. 3:06-1058

Eastern District of Louisiana

Melvin Raymond v. Borden, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-11140

Middle District of Louisiana

Teressa Bell, etc. v. Rowan Companies, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:06-785
Aurelie Breau Waguespack, et al. v. Anco Insulations, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:06-965

Western District of Louisiana

Daniel Jarrell v. Franks Petroleum, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 6:06-2190

Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session, Section B
Las Vegas, Nevada

p. 22

MDL-875 (Continued)

District of Maryland

Linda Hudson, et al. v. Rapid-American Corp., et al., C.A. No. 1:06-3319

Southern District of New York

Talbot P. Frawley, et al. v. General Electric Co., et al., C.A. No. 1:06-15395

MDL-1335 -- In re Tyco International, Ltd., Securities, Derivative & "ERISA" Litigation

Opposition of plaintiffs Scott Davis, et al., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the District of New Hampshire:

Northern District of Illinois

Scott Davis, et al. v. Dennis Kozlowski, et al., C.A. No. 1:07-227

MDL-1373 -- In re Bridgestone/Firestone, Inc., Tires Products Liability Litigation

Opposition of plaintiff Leonie Moise, etc., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Indiana:

Middle District of Florida

Leonie Moise, etc. v. Bridgestone/Firestone North American Tire, LLC,
C.A. No. 2:06-675

Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session, Section B
Las Vegas, Nevada

p. 23

MDL-1373 (Continued)

Oppositions of defendants Bridgestone Firestone North American Tire, LLC and Ford Motor Company to remand, under 28 U.S.C. § 1407(a), of the following action to the United States District Court for the Western District of Arkansas:

Southern District of Indiana

Susan Janssen, etc. v. Bridgestone/Firestone North American Tire, LLC, et al.,
C.A. No. 1:04-5811 (W.D. Arkansas, C.A. No. 2:04-2164)

MDL-1409 -- In re Currency Conversion Fee Antitrust Litigation

Opposition of plaintiff Kyle Sandera to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York:

Northern District of California

Kyle Sandera v. Bank of America Corp., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-34

MDL-1456 -- In re Pharmaceutical Industry Average Wholesale Price Litigation

Opposition of plaintiff The State of Idaho to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts:

District of Idaho

State of Idaho v. Abbott Laboratories, C.A. No. 1:07-93

Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session, Section B
Las Vegas, Nevada

p. 24

MDL-1566 -- In re Western States Wholesale Natural Gas Antitrust Litigation

Motion of defendant Reliant Energy Services, Inc., to transfer the following action to the United States District Court for the District of Nevada:

Western District of Wisconsin

Arandell Corp., et al. v. Xcel Energy, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-76

MDL-1596 -- In re Zyprexa Products Liability Litigation

Motions of defendant Eli Lilly & Company to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York:

District of South Carolina

Samuel Davis v. Eli Lilly & Co., C.A. No. 3:06-2312

Kimberly J. Johnson, et al. v. Ricardo Jose Fermo, M.D., et al., C.A. No. 4:06-2994

MDL-1604 -- In re Ocwen Federal Bank FSB Mortgage Servicing Litigation

Oppositions of plaintiffs Mary Brown; Linda Fleshman; David Waters, Sr., et al.; Donald Moden, et al.; Annette Miranda; and Stanley C. Beardslee, et al., to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois:

Eastern District of Texas

Mary Brown v. Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC, et al., C.A. No. 1:07-92

Northern District of Texas

Linda Fleshman v. Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC, et al., C.A. No. 4:07-108

Western District of Texas

David Waters, Sr., et al. v. Ocwen Financial Corp., et al., C.A. No. 1:07-61

Donald Moden, et al. v. Ocwen Financial Corp., et al., C.A. No. 1:07-62

Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session, Section B
Las Vegas, Nevada

p. 25

MDL-1604 (Continued)

Western District of Texas (Continued)

Annette Miranda v. Ocwen Financial Corp., et al., C.A. No. 5:07-34

Stanley C. Beardslee, et al. v. Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC, et al., C.A. No. 5:07-137

MDL-1626 -- In re Accutane Products Liability Litigation

Opposition of defendant Hoffman-La Roche, Inc., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida:

Eastern District of Pennsylvania

Darrell W. Borum, Jr. v. Hoffmann-La Roche, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-94

MDL-1657 -- In re Vioxx Marketing, Sales Practices and Products Liability Litigation

Oppositions of plaintiffs to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana:

Central District of California

Ruby Lois Moore Estate, etc. v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 2:06-7548

Eastern District of California

Mosetta Bernstine v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-34

Katherine Harrison v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-42

James Daniels, Jr. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-48

Barbara Ford-Daniels v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-51

Lynn Franklin v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-57

Irma Franklin v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-58

Carolyn Lee Wilson v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-61

Mary Ann Harris v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-67

John Wilson v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-68

Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session, Section B
Las Vegas, Nevada

p. 26

MDL-1657 (Continued)

Eastern District of California (Continued)

Ishmael Haqq v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-73
David Tenn, etc. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-75
Estate of Juanita Battle v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-77
Denise Denison v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-79

Northern District of California

Dorothy Shanks v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-65
Stanford Johnson v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-67
Estate of Robert Badke, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-69
Fred Hardin v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-75
Jeffrey Nielsen v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-76
Juliana Nielsen v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-77
Jacquelyn Johnson v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-78
Arthur Shanks v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:07-68
Earnestine Hardin v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:07-70
Shahla Jaferian v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 5:07-66
Estate of Sandra Ellis v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 5:07-74
Nader Jaferian v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 5:07-79
Randolph Dossett v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 5:07-80
Suzanne Dante v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 5:07-81

Southern District of California

Genevieve Tadman v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:06-2151
Del Rorer v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-10
Carol Krepp v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-11
Charles Krepp v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-12
Teresa Rorer v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-14
Arlene Purvis v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-15

Northern District of West Virginia

Helen Jean Anderson, etc. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 5:06-151

Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session, Section B
Las Vegas, Nevada

p. 27

MDL-1657 (Continued)

Southern District of West Virginia

Paul Noe, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-1004

Leota Faye Dickens v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-1005

Madonna Armentrout, etc. v. Rite Aid of West Virginia, Inc., C.A. No. 3:06-1058

MDL-1657 -- In re Vioxx Marketing, Sales Practices and Products Liability Litigation

MDL-1699 -- In re Bextra and Celebrex Marketing, Sales Practices and Products Liability Litigation

Oppositions of plaintiffs to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana in MDL-1657 and to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California in MDL-1699:

Northern District of Alabama

William D. McCluskey, etc. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-232

Eastern District of California

Carol Ann Davies, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-2768

Lois Hornsby, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-2776

Bertha Townsend, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-2780

Arthur Bluett, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-2787

Lisa Mathews, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-2807

Geneva Styles, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-2812

Ali Muhilddine, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-2814

Elizabeth A. Cochran v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-2817

Kevin L. Chaney v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-2826

Wendel Vantine, etc. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-2851

Barry Dohner, etc. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-2853

Jerry Strange v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-2875

Southern District of Illinois

Robert J. Smith, Jr., etc. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:06-964

Wilburn Williamson, etc. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:06-1023

Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session, Section B
Las Vegas, Nevada

p. 28

MDL-1700 -- In re FedEx Ground Package System, Inc., Employment Practices Litigation
(No. II)

Opposition of plaintiff Carlos Quintin Gonzalez to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Indiana:

Southern District of Florida

Carlos Quintin Gonzalez v. FedEx Home Delivery, et al., C.A. No. 1:06-22964

MDL-1708 -- In re Guidant Corp. Implantable Defibrillators Products Liability Litigation

Oppositions of plaintiffs Mary Alice Miller, etc., and Estefana Silva, et al., to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota:

Middle District of Florida

Mary Alice Miller, etc. v. Guidant Corp., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-41

Southern District of Texas

Estefana Silva, et al. v. Guidant Corp., et al., C.A. No. 7:07-2

MDL-1715 -- In re Ameriquest Mortgage Co. Mortgage Lending Practices Litigation

Oppositions of plaintiffs Rodney S. Means and David L. Murphy, et al., and defendants Dream House Mortgage Corp., Northwest Title & Escrow Corp., and Litton Loan Servicing LP to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois:

Northern District of Indiana

Rodney S. Means v. Ameriquest Mortgage Co., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-409

District of Massachusetts

Kelly Ann I. Graham, et al. v. Ameriquest Mortgage Co., et al., C.A. No. 4:06-40195

Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session, Section B
Las Vegas, Nevada

p. 29

MDL-1715 (Continued)

Eastern District of Missouri

Paul W. Derda, et al. v. Ameriquest Mortgage Co., et al., C.A. No. 4:06-1649

Eastern District of Texas

David L. Murphy, et al. v. Argent Mortgage Co., LLC, C.A. No. 1:06-781

MDL-1718 -- In re Ford Motor Co. Speed Control Deactivation Switch Products Liability
Litigation

Oppositions of plaintiffs Florida Farm Bureau Casualty Insurance Company, etc.; David Giorgini, et al.; and Oscar Reyes, et al., to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan:

Middle District of Florida

Florida Farm Bureau Casualty Insurance Co., etc. v. Ford Motor Co., Inc.,
C.A. No. 8:06-2307

Eastern District of Pennsylvania

David Giorgini, et al. v. Ford Motor Co., C.A. No. 2:06-968

Southern District of Texas

Oscar Reyes, et al. v. Ford Motor Co., et al., C.A. No. 4:07-165

Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session, Section B
Las Vegas, Nevada

p. 30

MDL-1726 -- In re Medtronic, Inc., Implantable Defibrillators Products Liability Litigation

Oppositions of plaintiff Patricia Kavalir and defendant Medtronic, Inc., to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota:

Middle District of Florida

Hazel E. Ricciotti, etc. v. Medtronic, Inc., C.A. No. 8:07-233

Northern District of Georgia

Claudeena Watt-Austin, et al. v. Boston Scientific Corp., et al., C.A. No. 1:07-293

Northern District of Illinois

Patricia Kavalir v. Medtronic, Inc., C.A. No. 1:07-835

MDL-1742 -- In re Ortho Evra Products Liability Litigation

Opposition of plaintiffs Jacci Morrison, et al., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio:

Northern District of California

Jacci Morrison, et al. v. Ortho-McNeil Corp., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-8

MDL-1760 -- In re Aredia and Zometa Products Liability Litigation

Oppositions of plaintiffs Joan LeCompte, et al., and Beatrice Rios to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Middle District of Tennessee:

District of New Jersey

Joan LeCompte, et al. v. Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corp., C.A. No. 2:07-357

Southern District of Texas

Beatrice Rios v. Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corp., et al., C.A. No. 6:07-2

Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session, Section B
Las Vegas, Nevada

p. 31

MDL-1763 -- In re Human Tissue Products Liability Litigation

Opposition of plaintiff Sherryl Jean Thornton to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey:

Northern District of Alabama

Sherryl Jean Thornton v. Michael Mastromarino, et al., C.A. No. 1:06-4899

MDL-1781 -- In re Cintas Corp. Overtime Pay Arbitration Litigation

Opposition of respondents in all actions to remand, under 28 U.S.C. § 1407(a), of the following actions to their respective transferor courts:

Northern District of California

Cintas Corp. v. Randall M. Cornelius, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5078 (M.D. Alabama,
C.A. No. 2:06-227)

Cintas Corp. v. Darren Mitchell Anderson, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5079 (N.D. Alabama,
C.A. No. 2:06-492)

Cintas Corp. v. Ramon J. Baudier, Jr., et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5080 (S.D. Alabama,
C.A. No. 1:06-148)

Cintas Corp. v. Robert J. Abel, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5081 (D. Arizona,
C.A. No. 2:06-693)

Cintas Corp. v. Roberto Carlos Alegria, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5082 (C.D. California,
C.A. No. 2:06-1750)

Cintas Corp. v. Ronald Arvizu, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5083 (E.D. California,
C.A. No. 2:06-611)

Cintas Corp. v. Daniel E. Ainsworth, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5084 (S.D. California,
C.A. No. 3:06-632)

Cintas Corp. v. John D. Bickham, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5085 (D. Colorado,
C.A. No. 1:06-427)

Cintas Corp. v. Eugene Christensen, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5086 (D. Connecticut,
C.A. No. 3:06-360)

Cintas Corp. v. Charles Leroy Gray, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5087 (D. Delaware,
C.A. No. 1:06-162)

Cintas Corp. v. Alice Allen, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5088 (M.D. Florida, C.A. No. 8:06-400)

Cintas Corp. v. Joseph Frazier, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5089 (N.D. Florida,
C.A. No. 3:06-103)

Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session, Section B
Las Vegas, Nevada

p. 32

MDL-1781 (Continued)

Northern District of California (Continued)

- Cintas Corp. v. David J. Abrahamsen, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5090 (S.D. Florida, C.A. No. 0:06-60310)
- Cintas Corp. v. Matthew J. DeFelix, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5091 (M.D. Georgia, C.A. No. 1:06-38)
- Cintas Corp. v. Jeffrey Aybar, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5092 (N.D. Georgia, C.A. No. 1:06-569)
- Cintas Corp. v. Joe L. Banks, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5093 (S.D. Georgia, C.A. No. 1:06-35)
- Cintas Corp. v. David DeBilzan, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5094 (D. Idaho, C.A. No. 1:06-104)
- Cintas Corp. v. James Allen Burress, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5095 (C.D. Illinois, C.A. No. 1:06-1068)
- Cintas Corp. v. Vince Agozzino, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5096 (N.D. Illinois, C.A. No. 1:06-1343)
- Cintas Corp. v. James Atkins, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5097 (N.D. Indiana, C.A. No. 2:06-85)
- Cintas Corp. v. Ryan Albright, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5098 (S.D. Indiana, C.A. No. 1:06-401)
- Cintas Corp. v. Donald Allen Griffin, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5099 (S.D. Iowa, C.A. No. 4:06-91)
- Cintas Corp. v. Matthew L. Blackman, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5100 (D. Kansas, C.A. No. 2:06-2091)
- Cintas Corp. v. Danny L. Brown, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5101 (E.D. Kentucky, C.A. No. 2:06-52)
- Cintas Corp. v. Jason Agostini, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5102 (W.D. Kentucky, C.A. No. 3:06-131)
- Cintas Corp. v. Jack Addison, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5103 (E.D. Louisiana, C.A. No. 2:06-1247)
- Cintas Corp. v. Gustave Fontenot, Jr., et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5104 (M.D. Louisiana, C.A. No. 3:06-188)
- Cintas Corp. v. Ivan Edward Avery, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5105 (W.D. Louisiana, C.A. No. 6:06-391)
- Cintas Corp. v. Randall Bowles, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5106 (D. Maine, C.A. No. 2:06-55)

Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session, Section B
Las Vegas, Nevada

p. 33

MDL-1781 (Continued)

Northern District of California (Continued)

- Cintas Corp. v. Joe Andrews, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5107 (D. Maryland,
C.A. No. 8:06-641)
- Cintas Corp. v. Philip Daniel Blaisdell, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5108 (D. Massachusetts,
C.A. No. 1:06-10442)
- Cintas Corp. v. Brandon Alioto, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5109 (E.D. Michigan,
C.A. No. 2:06-11043)
- Cintas Corp. v. Travis M. Ault, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5110 (W.D. Michigan,
C.A. No. 1:06-180)
- Cintas Corp. v. John Callahan, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5111 (D. Minnesota,
C.A. No. 0:06-1012)
- Cintas Corp. v. Gregory Cole Bigbee, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5112 (S.D. Mississippi,
C.A. No. 3:06-137)
- Cintas Corp. v. Relton Barnes, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5113 (E.D. Missouri,
C.A. No. 4:06-450)
- Cintas Corp. v. Randall Adams, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5114 (W.D. Missouri,
C.A. No. 4:06-208)
- Cintas Corp. v. Jeffrey Anderson, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5115 (D. Nebraska,
C.A. No. 8:06-262)
- Cintas Corp. v. Anthony Dean Hamby, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5116 (D. Nevada,
C.A. No. 2:06-300)
- Cintas Corp. v. Joseph Allen, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5117 (D. New Jersey,
C.A. No. 2:06-1164)
- Cintas Corp. v. Tony L. Bostick, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5119 (D. New Mexico,
C.A. No. 1:06-185)
- Cintas Corp. v. Troy Amott, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5120 (E.D. New York,
C.A. No. 1:06-1105)
- Cintas Corp. v. Hugh J. Kingsley, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5121 (N.D. New York,
C.A. No. 5:06-311)
- Cintas Corp. v. Louis Alves, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5122 (S.D. New York,
C.A. No. 1:06-1933)
- Cintas Corp. v. Robert F. Bowles, Jr., et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5123 (W.D. New York,
C.A. No. 6:06-6147)
- Cintas Corp. v. Matthew Anderson, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5124 (E.D. North Carolina,
C.A. No. 5:06-113)

Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session, Section B
Las Vegas, Nevada

p. 34

MDL-1781 (Continued)

Northern District of California (Continued)

- Cintas Corp. v. Gus Aranegui, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5126 (M.D. North Carolina, C.A. No. 1:06-225)
- Cintas Corp. v. Jonathan Allred, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5127 (W.D. North Carolina, C.A. No. 3:06-114)
- Cintas Corp. v. Bradley Agler, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5128 (N.D. Ohio, C.A. No. 3:06-7083)
- Cintas Corp. v. Donald Adkins, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5129 (S.D. Ohio, C.A. No. 1:06-126)
- Cintas Corp. v. Robert Hall, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5130 (E.D. Oklahoma, C.A. No. 6:06-97)
- Cintas Corp. v. Brent Berna, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5131 (N.D. Oklahoma, C.A. No. 4:06-148)
- Cintas Corp. v. Raymond Mac Harris, Jr., et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5132 (W.D. Oklahoma, C.A. No. 5:06-247)
- Cintas Corp. v. Dennis Bassett, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5133 (D. Oregon, C.A. No. 6:06-335)
- Cintas Corp. v. Kenneth W. Baptist, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5134 (E.D. Pennsylvania, C.A. No. 2:06-1053)
- Cintas Corp. v. Brian Ash, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5135 (M.D. Pennsylvania, C.A. No. 3:06-517)
- Cintas Corp. v. Christopher Derenzo, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5136 (W.D. Pennsylvania, C.A. No. 2:06-324)
- Cintas Corp. v. Joseph E. Edwards, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5137 (D. Rhode Island, C.A. No. 1:06-112)
- Cintas Corp. v. Thomas Eugene Alert, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5138 (D. South Carolina, C.A. No. 3:06-762)
- Cintas Corp. v. Stephen Barlow, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5139 (E.D. Texas, C.A. No. 1:06-137)
- Cintas Corp. v. Bryan Armstrong, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5140 (N.D. Texas, C.A. No. 3:06-432)
- Cintas Corp. v. Judd Allen, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5141 (S.D. Texas, C.A. No. 4:06-824)
- Cintas Corp. v. Issac Anaya, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5142 (W.D. Texas, C.A. No. 5:06-216)
- Cintas Corp. v. Wade Bell, et al.*, C.A. No. 4:06-5143 (D. Utah, C.A. No. 2:06-205)

Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session, Section B
Las Vegas, Nevada

p. 35

MDL-1781 (Continued)

Northern District of California (Continued)

Cintas Corp. v. John O. Ansink, Jr., et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5144 (E.D. Virginia,
C.A. No. 1:06-267)

Cintas Corp. v. Nelson Carter, Jr., C.A. No. 4:06-5145 (W.D. Virginia,
C.A. No. 5:06-23)

Cintas Corp. v. Scott Burgess, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5146 (E.D. Washington,
C.A. No. 2:06-3023)

Cintas Corp. v. Michael Anderson, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5147 (W.D. Washington,
C.A. No. 2:06-332)

Cintas Corp. v. Nathan J. Andree, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5148 (E.D. Wisconsin,
C.A. No. 2:06-303)

Cintas Corp. v. Chris Brown, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5149 (W.D. Wisconsin,
C.A. No. 3:06-133)

MDL-1789 -- In re Fosamax Products Liability Litigation

Opposition of plaintiff Mary Ellen Potgieter to transfer of the following action to the
United States District Court for the Southern District of New York:

District of New Jersey

Mary Ellen Potgieter v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 2:07-2

PROCEDURES FOR ORAL ARGUMENT BEFORE THE
JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

All oral argument is governed by the provisions of Rule 16.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation (effective April 2, 2001). Rule 16.1(g) allows a maximum of twenty minutes for oral argument in each matter. In most cases, however, less time is necessary for the expression of all views and the Panel reserves the prerogative of reducing the time requested by counsel. Accordingly, counsel should be careful not to overstate the time requested for oral argument.

The Panel insists that counsel limit all oral argument to the appropriate criteria. See generally In re “East of the Rockies” Concrete Pipe Antitrust Cases, 302 F. Supp. 244, 255-56 (J.P.M.L. 1969) (concurring opinion) (discussion concerning criteria for transfer).

Rule 16.1 is duplicated in its entirety hereafter for your convenience.

RULE 16.1: HEARING SESSIONS AND ORAL ARGUMENT

(a) Hearing sessions of the Panel for the presentation of oral argument and consideration of matters taken under submission without oral argument shall be held as ordered by the Panel. The Panel shall convene whenever and wherever desirable or necessary in the judgment of the Chairman. The Chairman shall determine which matters shall be considered at each hearing session and the Clerk of the Panel shall give notice to counsel for all parties involved in the litigation to be so considered of the time, place and subject matter of such hearing session.

(b) Each party filing a motion or a response to a motion or order of the Panel under Rules 7.2, 7.3, 7.4 or 7.6 of these Rules may file simultaneously therewith a separate statement limited to one page setting forth reasons why oral argument should, or need not, be heard. Such statements shall be captioned "Reasons Why Oral Argument Should [Need Not] Be Heard," and shall be filed and served in conformity with Rules 5.12 and 5.2 of these Rules.

(c) No transfer or remand determination regarding any action pending in the district court shall be made by the Panel when any party timely opposes such transfer or remand unless a hearing session has been held for the presentation of oral argument except that the Panel may dispense with oral argument if it determines that:

- (i) the dispositive issue(s) have been authoritatively decided; or
- (ii) the facts and legal arguments are adequately presented in the briefs and record, and the decisional process would not be significantly aided by oral argument.

Unless otherwise ordered by the Panel, all other matters before the Panel, such as a motion for reconsideration, shall be considered and determined upon the basis of the papers filed.

(d) In those matters in which oral argument is not scheduled by the Panel, counsel shall be promptly advised. If oral argument is scheduled in a matter the Clerk of the Panel may require counsel for all parties who wish to make or to waive oral argument to file and serve notice to that effect within a stated time in conformity with Rules 5.12 and 5.2 of these Rules. Failure to do so shall be deemed a waiver of oral argument by that party. If oral argument is scheduled but not attended by a party, the matter shall not be rescheduled and that party's position shall be treated as submitted for decision by the Panel on the basis of the papers filed.

(e) Except for leave of the Panel on a showing of good cause, only those parties to actions scheduled for oral argument who have filed a motion or written response to a motion or order shall be permitted to appear before the Panel and present oral argument.

(f) Counsel for those supporting transfer or remand under Section 1407 and counsel for those opposing such transfer or remand are to confer separately prior to the oral argument for the purpose of organizing their arguments and selecting representatives to present all views without duplication.

(g) Unless otherwise ordered by the Panel, a maximum of twenty minutes shall be allotted for oral argument in each matter. The time shall be divided equally among those with varying viewpoints. Counsel for the moving party or parties shall generally be heard first.

- 2 -

(h) So far as practicable and consistent with the purposes of Section 1407, the offering of oral testimony before the Panel shall be avoided. Accordingly, oral testimony shall not be received except upon notice, motion and order of the Panel expressly providing for it.

(i) After an action or group of actions has been set for a hearing session, consideration of such action(s) may be continued only by order of the Panel on good cause shown.