

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF RHODE ISLAND

ARTHUR D'AMARIO, III,
PLAINTIFF)

v.)

CIVIL No. 08-490-DBH

KENNETH D. COLLINS, ET AL.,
DEFENDANTS)

ARTHUR D'AMARIO, III,
PLAINTIFF)

v.)

CIVIL No. 09-38-DBH

EDWARD C. ROY, ET AL.,
DEFENDANTS)

ARTHUR D'AMARIO, III,
PLAINTIFF)

v.)

CIVIL No. 09-106-DBH

HENRY S. KINCH, JR.,
DEFENDANT)

ORDER AFFIRMING RECOMMENDED DECISION
OF THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Upon de novo review, I AFFIRM the Magistrate Judge's recommended
decisions of dismissal in these three cases.¹ No evidentiary hearing is necessary
or appropriate.

¹ The plaintiff Arthur D'Amario has filed the same objection in each of his three cases and,
therefore, I treat them together in this Order.

I also remind the plaintiff of my June 5, 2008, Order in D’Amario v. United States, 251 F.R.D. 63, 64 (D. Me. 2008), warning him against continued frivolous filings and the likelihood of an injunction against his excessive number of groundless lawsuits. The plaintiff should take my 2008 warning into account in deciding whether to pay the filing fees to re-file these lawsuits.

SO ORDERED.

DATED THIS 13TH DAY OF AUGUST, 2009

/s/D. BROCK HORNBY
D. BROCK HORNBY
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
DISTRICT OF MAINE