Sapeta v Davol Inc., et al

Doc. 8

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Plaintiff and Defendants Davol Inc., Bard Devices, Inc. and C.R.Bard, Inc. by and through their counsel of record propose the following stay pursuant to a stipulation based on the following facts:

Plaintiff alleges, among other things, that the "Bard® Composix® Oval Kugel® Patch," a medical device manufactured and sold by Defendant Davol Inc. and used by Plaintiff's surgeon in conjunction with Plaintiff's hernia repair surgery, was defective and unreasonably dangerous when put to its intended use.

This product liability action is but one of a number of related actions filed in federal courts across the country. In 2006, in fact, two plaintiffs who also allege that they sustained injuries resulting from their receipt and use of the Composix[®] Kugel[®] Patch filed a motion before the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation ("JPML") seeking transfer and centralization of all cases involving this medical device pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. On May 31, 2007, the JPML heard that motion, and on June 22, 2007, the JPML granted the motion for transfer and consolidation. As a result, all federal court cases concerning the Composix® Kugel® Patch have been or imminently will be transferred to the District of Rhode Island for consolidated pretrial proceedings. See In re Kugel Mesh Hernia Patch Prods. Liab. Litig., 493 F.Supp. 2d 1371 (J.P.M.L. 2007).

Based on the JPML's June 22, 2007 Transfer Order, and pursuant to Rules 7.4 and 7.5 of the Rules of Procedure of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, 199 F.R.D. 435-36 (2001), a "tag-along" letter concerning this case, among others, was filed with the Clerk of the JPML on December 4, 2009 (see December 4, 2009 Letter to Jeffrey Lüthi, Clerk of the JPML, without exhibits (Ex. 1)). As has been the normal procedure, we anticipate that the JPML will issue a conditional transfer order in the coming weeks/months, which will effect transfer of this action to the United States District Court for the District of Rhode Island. Given that the transfer of this action in due course will moot any pretrial requirements and schedules imposed by this Court,

28