

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Oscar Beecher McDowell,)	C/A No. 0:14-3899-MGL-PJG
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	ORDER
)	
SCDC Director B. Stirling; L. Cartledge,)	
Warden of PCI; S. Claytor, Associate Warden)	
of PCI,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
_____)	

The plaintiff has filed this action, *pro se*, seeking relief pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff, an inmate with the South Carolina Department of Corrections, alleges a violation of his constitutional rights by the defendants. The defendants filed a motion for summary judgment on July 14, 2015, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. (ECF No. 50.) As the plaintiff is proceeding *pro se*, the court entered an order pursuant to Roseboro v. Garrison, 528 F.2d 309 (4th Cir. 1975) on July 15, 2015, advising the plaintiff of the importance of a motion for summary judgment and of the need for him to file an adequate response. (ECF No. 51.) The plaintiff was specifically advised that if he failed to respond adequately, the defendants' motion may be granted, thereby ending his case.

Notwithstanding the specific warning and instructions set forth in the court's Roseboro order, the plaintiff has failed to respond to the motion. As such, it appears to the court that he does not oppose the motion and wishes to abandon this action.

PJG

Based on the foregoing, it is

ORDERED that the plaintiff shall advise the court as to whether he wishes to continue with this case and to file a response to the defendants' motion for summary judgment within fourteen (14) days from the date of this order. Plaintiff is further advised that if he fails to respond, **this action will be recommended for dismissal with prejudice for failure to prosecute.** See Davis v. Williams, 588 F.2d 69, 70 (4th Cir. 1978); Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.



Paige J. Gossett
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

August 24, 2015
Columbia, South Carolina