

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Anthony Parker,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Boise Cascade Corporation,

Defendant.

C/A No.: 0:15-2871-DCN-SVH

ORDER


Anthony Parker (“Plaintiff”), proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, brought this action alleging he was wrongfully terminated. Defendant filed a motion to dismiss the amended complaint on June 2, 2016. [ECF No. 66]. As Plaintiff is proceeding pro se, the court entered an order pursuant to *Roseboro v. Garrison*, 528 F.2d 309 (4th Cir. 1975), advising him of the importance of the motion and of the need for him to file an adequate response by July 8, 2016. [ECF No. 67]. Plaintiff was specifically advised that if he failed to respond adequately, Defendant’s motion may be granted. *Id.*

Notwithstanding the specific warning and instructions set forth in the court’s *Roseboro* order, Plaintiff failed to properly respond to the motion. As such, it appears to the court that he does not oppose the motion and wishes to abandon this action. Based on the foregoing, Plaintiff is directed to advise the court whether he wishes to continue with this case and to file a response to Defendant’s motion to dismiss by July 26, 2016. Plaintiff is further advised that if he fails to respond, this action will be recommended for dismissal with prejudice for failure to prosecute. See *Davis v. Williams*, 588 F.2d 69, 70

(4th Cir. 1978); Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

July 12, 2016
Columbia, South Carolina



Shiva V. Hodges
United States Magistrate Judge