Griffin v. Brown et al Doc. 63



IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA ROCK HILL DIVISION

| TERRANCE GRIFFIN, | § | |
|--------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| Plaintiff, | § | |
| | § | |
| VS. | § | CIVIL ACTION NO. 0:17-994-MGL |
| | § | |
| KAREEM H. BROWN, SR., ELIZABETH A. | § | |
| HOLCOMB, JOHN B. MCREE, and LEE C.I. | § | |
| MEDICAL DEPARTMENT, | § | |
| Defendants. | § | |

ORDER ADOPTING THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION AND GRANTING DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Plaintiff Terrance Griffin (Griffin) filed this case against Defendants Kareem H. Brown, Sr., Elizabeth A. Holcomb, John B. McRee, and Lee C.I. Medical Department (collectively, Defendants) under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. He is proceeding pro se.

The matter is before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation (Report) of the United States Magistrate Judge suggesting Defendants' motion for summary judgment be granted. The Report was made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636 and Local Civil Rule 73.02 for the District of South Carolina.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility to make a final determination remains with the Court. *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270 (1976). The Court is charged with making a de novo determination of those portions of the Report to which specific objection is made, and the Court may

accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge or

recommit the matter with instructions. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

The Magistrate Judge filed the Report on July 3, 2018, but Griffin failed to file any

objections. "[I]n the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct a de novo

review, but instead must 'only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in

order to accept the recommendation." Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co., 416 F.3d 310, 315

(4th Cir. 2005) (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee's note). Moreover, a failure to

object waives appellate review. Wright v. Collins, 766 F.2d 841, 845-46 (4th Cir. 1985).

After a thorough review of the Report and the record in this case pursuant to the standards

set forth above, the Court adopts the Report, and incorporates it herein. Therefore, it is the judgment

of this Court Defendants' motion for summary judgment is **GRANTED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed this 19th day of July, 2018, in Columbia, South Carolina.

s/ Mary G. Lewis

MARY G. LEWIS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

Griffin is hereby notified of the right to appeal this Order within thirty days from the date

hereof, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.

2