Arnold v. Hines et al Doc. 21

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Matthew David Arnold,) C/A No. 0:17-1126-MBS-PJG
Plaintiff,)
v.	ORDER
Robbie Hines; Jennifer Nave,)
Defendants.)))
(D.S.C.), pretrial proceedings in this action I Magistrate Judge. On May 22, 2017, the court process on the defendants. (ECF No. 11.) In Pleading," the court, following initial screeni construed as raised by the Plaintiff in the Com-	-
each legal representative entering an appearant that the court's order authorizing service of procast construed by the court have been reviewed by and filing it with the court within seven (7) days	for one or more named defendants. The court directs ace in this matter on behalf of any defendant to certify cess has been received and that the Plaintiff's claims y completing the "Defendant's Certification" below as from the date of this order. If any party disagrees laims, he or she may file an appropriate motion with of this order.
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
July 5, 2017 Columbia, South Carolina	s/Paige J. Gossett Paige J. Gossett UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
Defendant	's Certification
I, order authorizing issuance and service of proconstruction of the pleading.	(print name), hereby certify that I have received the ocess in this case and have reviewed the court's
	Signature of party or legal representative