



Upon review, the court finds Defendant complied with the requirements of Local Rule 5.03. Defendant's motion was filed on September 13, 2017. (ECF No. 11.) The motion includes a descriptive, non-confidential description of the document Defendant seeks to file under seal. (Id.) The document sought to be filed under seal is protected from disclosure by Nuclear Regulatory Commission regulations. (ECF No. 11.) See also 10 C.F.R. § 73.56(m)(1) (2016). The time since the motion's filing provided a sufficient period of public notice, and no one has objected to this document being sealed.

As required by Ashcraft, the court has considered whether less drastic alternatives to sealing the documents exist but finds no reasonable less drastic alternative is available. Granting leave to seal is necessary to prevent disclosure of the document. The court finds that Defendant's interest in non-disclosure of such information outweighs the public's right to access the document. Thus, the court finds that the nature of the information in the document at issue requires that the document be sealed.

Therefore, the court **GRANTS** Defendant's Motion to Seal. (ECF No. 11.)

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "J. Michelle Childs". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

United States District Judge

October 13, 2017

Columbia, South Carolina