Owens v. Freeman Doc. 31

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA FLORENCE DIVISION

Curtis Q. Owens,)
Plaintiff,)
vs.) Civil Action No. 1:10-949-TLW-SVH
Helen Freeman, Mail Clerk Inst., in her Individual capacity,)))
Defendant.)))
	 /

ORDER

Curtis Q. Owens, ("plaintiff"), brought this civil action, *pro se*, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 on April 15, 2010. (Doc. # 1).

This matter now comes before this Court for review of the Report and Recommendation ("the Report") filed by United States Magistrate Judge Shiva V. Hodges, to whom this case had previously been assigned. In the Report, the Magistrate Judge recommends that the defendant's motion for summary judgment be granted. (Doc. # 27). No objections have been filed.

This Court is charged with conducting a <u>de novo</u> review of any portion of the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation to which a specific objection is registered, and may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendations contained in that Report. 28 U.S.C. § 636. In the absence of objections to the Report of the Magistrate Judge, this Court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. <u>See Camby v. Davis</u>, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983).

The Court has carefully reviewed the Magistrate Judge's Report. For the reasons articulated by the Magistrate Judge, it is hereby **ORDERED** that the Magistrate Judge's Report

and Recommendation is **ACCEPTED.** (Doc. # 27). Therefore, the defendant's motion for summary judgment is **GRANTED**, and this case is hereby **DISMISSED**. (Doc. # 19).

IT IS SO ORDERED

s/Terry L. Wooten
United States District Judge

September 12, 2011 Florence, South Carolina